

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL RURAL DE PERNAMBUCO DEPARTAMENTO DE BIOLOGIA – ÁREA DE BOTÂNICA PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM BOTÂNICA



CIHELIO ALVES AMORIM

EFEITOS ALELOPÁTICOS MÚTUOS ENTRE A MACRÓFITA AQUÁTICA Egeria densa PLANCH. E A CIANOBACTÉRIA FORMADORA DE FLORAÇÕES Microcystis

> RECIFE, PE 2017

## CIHELIO ALVES AMORIM

# EFEITOS ALELOPÁTICOS MÚTUOS ENTRE A MACRÓFITA AQUÁTICA Egeria densa PLANCH. E A CIANOBACTÉRIA FORMADORA DE FLORAÇÕES Microcystis

Dissertação apresentado ao Curso de Mestrado do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Botânica da Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco como requisito para obtenção do título de Mestre em Botânica.

Orientadora: Ariadne do Nascimento Moura Coorientadores: Cláudia Ulisses e Ênio Wocyli Dantas

> RECIFE, PE 2017

Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação (CIP) Sistema Integrado de Bibliotecas da UFRPE Nome da Biblioteca, Recife-PE, Brasil

A524e Amorim, Cihelio Alves

Efeitos alelopáticos mútuos entre a macrófita aquática *Egeria densa* Planch. e a cianobactéria formadora de florações *Microcystis* / Cihelio Alves Amorim. – 2017. 70 f. : il.

Orientadora: Ariadne do Nascimento Moura. Coorientadores: Cláudia Ulisses e Ênio Wocyli Dantas. Dissertação (Mestrado) – Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Botânica, Recife, BR-PE, 2017. Inclui referências e anexo(s).

1. Alelopatia 2. Cianobactérias Formadoras de Florações 3. Estados Alternativos dos Lagos 4. Estresse Oxidativo 5. Macrófitas Submersas 6. Microcistinas I. Moura, Ariadne do Nascimento, orient. II. Ulisses, Cláudia, coorient. III. Dantas, Ênio Wocyli, coorient. IV. Título

CDD 581

## CIHELIO ALVES AMORIM

# EFEITOS ALELOPÁTICOS MÚTUOS ENTRE A MACRÓFITA AQUÁTICA Egeria densa PLANCH. E A CIANOBACTÉRIA FORMADORA DE FLORAÇÕES *Microcystis*

Dissertação defendida e aprovada pela banca examinadora em 16 de agosto de 2017.

BANCA EXAMINADORA

Profa. Dra. Ariadne do Nascimento Moura (UFRPE) - Presidente

Profa. Dra. Elba Maria Nogueira Ferraz (IFPE) – Titular

Profa. Dra. Elcida de Lima Araújo (UFRPE) - Titular

Prof. Dr. Mauro de Melo Júnior (UFRPE) - Titular

Profa. Dra. Carmen Sílvia Zickel (UFRPE) - Suplente

Dedico à Vida!

Dedico à vida da forma como ela é, da forma como todos veem e como querem que seja!

Dedico ao dom da criação, do surgimento das novas vidas e da variabilidade das formas viventes!

Novamente dedico aos amigos, pois são os melhores presentes que a vida me deu!

## AGRADECIMENTOS

Agradeço primeiramente e principalmente aos meus queridos pais, fontes de todo incentivo e força durante toda a minha vida, a quem dedico todos os frutos do meu esforço, tudo que conquistei e todas minhas futuras realizações. Ao meu Pai Raimundo Nonato, por todo apoio e palavras, e por me estimular (indiretamente) a estudar as cianobactérias, após um provável caso de intoxicação. À minha amada Mãe, Jaceilde Alves, simplesmente por tudo que sei e sou, por todo apoio e carinho, a pessoa mais importante desta vida;

Ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Botânica e à Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco por todo apoio e infraestrutura, fundamental para realização desta pesquisa, em especial aos coordenadores Prof. Reginaldo Carvalho e Profa. Teresa Buril, além das secretárias Kênia Muniz e Cynara Leleu;

Agradeço à Profa. Ariadne Moura por toda orientação e assistência durante o mestrado, principalmente por toda paciência com esse "menino metido". Este período, incluindo as broncas, foi essencial para meu crescimento pessoal e científico, enfim, agradeço por tudo, desde a hospedagem até as conversas pessoais;

A todos os professores do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Botânica que contribuíram para minha formação, seja através das aulas ou de discussões e avaliações, em especial à Profa. Elcida Araújo, por todo seu conhecimento, pessoa por quem tenho muita admiração;

A todos os colegas de pós-graduação, em especial aos também cearenses Rayane de Tasso e Diego Santos, por todos os momentos compartilhados, saídas, pizzas, praias, cinemas, por todas as conversas, todo o incentivo (muito incentivo) e por todo carinho partilhado durante esse tempo juntos;

Ao Laboratório de Ficologia - Taxonomia e Ecologia de Microalgas por fornecer estrutura necessária à realização da pesquisa e aos integrantes e ex-integrantes: Anamaria Diniz, Celina Valença, Leonardo Messias, Rafael Moura, Silvano Lima, Juliana Santos e Nísia Aragão por toda amizade, companheirismo e discussões no laboratório. Agradeço especialmente pelo convívio com a pessoa mais iluminada que conheci em Recife, Alane de Aquino, por todo carinho, conversas e amizade inigualável;

Agradeço a todos os laboratórios que forneceram suporte à realização desta pesquisa, especialmente o Laboratório de Cultura de Tecidos Vegetais e o Laboratório de Ecologia Aplicada e Fitoquímica, além da coorientação da Profa. Cláudia Ulisses e do Prof. Ênio Dantas e a colaboração do Prof. Fernando Oliveira;

Aos membros da banca examinadora Elcida Araújo, Elba Nogueira e Mauro de Melo Júnior pelas valiosas contribuições neste trabalho, serão de grande ajuda para publicação dos manuscritos;

Voltando ao passado, agradeço a pessoas que estarão sempre comigo, minha eterna orientadora Sírleis Lacerda por todos ensinamentos fundamentais para meu crescimento pessoal e científico, e às amigas do peito Aurea, Danily, Jordana, Zélia, Thamyris, Marlene, Alana e Eugênia;

À Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior pela concessão de bolsa de estudos, fundamental para o andamento do mestrado;

Agradeço a todos que contribuíram direta e indiretamente com a realização deste trabalho, seja na forma de incentivo e apoio ou participação efetiva na coleta e análise dos dados;

Por fim, podem achar que é egoísmo, mas agradeço imensamente a mim mesmo, por todas as noites mal dormidas, pelos longos dias com a realização dos experimentos, pelas incansáveis horas na bancada do laboratório realizando análises, por ter que escutar coisas desagradáveis, sem mim nada teria sido realizado, nada teria sido concretizado. Além disso, também agradeço às macrófitas aquáticas (Egeria densa) e às cianobactérias (Microcystis spp.) por terem enfrentado a batalha alelopática com sucesso para ambas.

#### LISTA DE FIGURAS E TABELAS

## **REVISÃO BIBLIOGRÁFICA**

### **PRIMEIRO MANUSCRITO**

Table 1. Mobile phase eluting system used for phytochemical analysis of the aqueous extract Fig. 1. Total phenolic compounds (TPC) in E. densa submitted to the culture with microcystinproducing (MC+) and non-producing (MC-) strains of *Microcystis*, compared to control (CT) without the Microcystis strains, at the start (Day 0) and the end (Day 14) of the coexistence experiment. Same letters represent no significant differences between treatments or days Fig. 2. Evaluation of the growth (biomass) of the toxic *M. aeruginosa* - NPLJ-4 (MC+) (A) and non-toxic M. panniformis - BCCUSP29 (MC-) (B) strains, submitted to the treatments of coexistence (MMC+ and MMC-) and aqueous extract (EMC+ and EMC-) of E. densa, related to controls treatments (CMC+ and CMC-). Different letters represent significant differences Fig. 3. Mean cellular biovolume of M. aeruginosa - NPLJ-4 (MC+) (A-C) and M. panniformis - BCCUSP29 (MC-) (D-E) strains, submitted to the treatments of coexistence (MMC+ and MMC-) and aqueous extract (EMC+ and EMC-) of E. densa, related to controls treatments Fig. 4. Growth rate of M. aeruginosa - NPLJ-4 (MC+) (A) and M. panniformis - BCCUSP29 (MC-) (B) strains, in coexistence with E. densa (MMC+ and MMC-) and with the aqueous extract of this macrophyte (EMC+ and EMC-), compared to controls (CMC+ and CMC-). Different letters represent significant differences between the treatments (Tukey: p < 0.05).... Fig. 5. Inhibition rate of the microcystin-producing (MC+) (A) and non-producing (MC-) (B) strains of Microcystis, cultivated in coexistence with E. densa (MMC+ and MMC-) and its aqueous extract (EMC+ and EMC-)..... 55

#### SEGUNDO MANUSCRITO

Fig. 1. Evaluation of the biomass (A) and length (B) of E. densa submitted to the culture with toxic (MC+) and non-toxic (MC-) strains of Microcystis and in the control (CT). Different Fig. 2. Development of *E. densa* after five weeks (35 days) of cultivation in coexistence with toxic (MC+) and non-toxic (MC-) strains of *Microcystis* and in the control (CT), showing the **Fig. 3.** Photosynthetic pigment content in *E. densa* submitted to the culture with toxic (MC+) and non-toxic (MC-) strains of *Microcystis* and in the control (CT). A: chlorophyll a; B: chlorophyll b; C: total chlorophyll; D: total carotenoids. Different letters show significant **Table 1.** Results of factorial ANOVA a×b comparing chlorophyll *a* and *b*, total chlorophyll, total carotenoids, soluble proteins, hydrogen peroxide, malondialdehyde, and activities of enzymes superoxide dismutase, catalase and ascorbate peroxidase in E. densa based on the time and treatments factors, and the interaction of these factors, submitted to cultures with toxic and Fig. 4. Analysis of oxidative stress in E. densa submitted to cultivation with toxic (MC+) and non-toxic (MC-) strains of Microcystis and in the control (CT). A: proteins; B: hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>); C: malondialdehyde (MDA); D: superoxide dismutase (SOD); E: catalase (CAT); F: ascorbate peroxidase (APX). Different letters show significant differences between 

Efeitos alelopáticos mútuos entre a macrófita aquática *Egeria densa* Planch. e a cianobactérias formadora de florações *Microcystis*. Ariadne do Nascimento Moura (Orientadora), Cláudia Ulisses e Ênio Wocyli Dantas (Coorientadores).

#### RESUMO

As macrófitas aquáticas são importantes para a manutenção dos estados alternativos claros dos ambientes aquáticos, pois podem liberar substâncias alelopáticas capazes de inibir o crescimento das algas planctônicas. Por outro lado, em ambientes túrbidos, resultantes das florações de cianobactérias, a presença de cianotoxinas pode afetar negativamente as macrófitas, causando estresse oxidativo e reduzindo o seu crescimento. Com o objetivo de elucidar as relações alelopáticas mútuas entre cianobactérias formadoras de florações e macrófitas submersas, nós testamos duas hipóteses através de estudos laboratoriais: (1) a liberação de aleloquímicos por Egeria densa reduz as taxas de crescimento de cepas tóxicas e não tóxicas de Microcystis; e (2) cepas tóxicas de Microcystis inibem o crescimento e biomassa de E. densa, além de ocasionar estresse oxidativo a essas plantas. Com base nisso, foram elaborados dois artigos: (1) Macrófitas submersas podem inibir cepas tóxicas e não tóxicas de cianobactérias igualmente? Efeitos alelopáticos de Egeria densa Planch. sobre Microcystis spp.; e (2) Respostas biométricas e fisiológicas de Egeria densa Planch. cultivada com cepas tóxica e não tóxica de Microcystis. No primeiro artigo, verificamos a influência da coexistência de E. densa e a aplicação de extratos desta planta (com os aleloquímicos dissolvidos) sobre a biomassa e taxas de crescimento de cepas tóxica de M. aeruginosa (MC+) e não tóxica de M. panniformis (MC-). No segundo, avaliamos as respostas fisiológicas e de crescimento de E. densa cultivadas com as cepas MC+ e MC-, verificando-se peroxidação lipídica, produção de peróxido de hidrogênio, alteração no conteúdo de pigmentos fotossintéticos e atividade das enzimas antioxidativas superóxido dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) e ascorbato peroxidase (APX). A cepa MC+ foi significativamente inibida quando cultivada com E. densa, enquanto que MC- exibiu uma resposta contrária, havendo estímulo do crescimento. Ao serem cultivadas sob influência do extrato de E. densa, as cepas MC+ e MC- foram inibidas, porém mais significativamente em MC-. A macrófita apresentou um pequeno incremento na produção de compostos fenólicos totais quando em coexistência com as cianobactérias, principalmente com a cepa tóxica. O crescimento e biomassa de E. densa foram inibidos guando em cultivo com a cepa MC+, com poucas alterações quando cultivadas com MC-. Além disso, a cepa MC+ inibiu a emergência de brotos e raízes nas plantas. Ambas as cepas mostraram uma redução nos teores de clorofilas totais, clorofila a e b, bem como um incremento dos carotenóides totais. As plantas cultivadas com MC+ apresentaram altas taxas de peroxidação lipídica e atividade enzimática, especialmente da APX. Nossos resultados suportam a hipótese de que existem interações alelopáticas mútuas entre Microcystis e E. densa. No entanto, E. densa necessita de um estímulo para liberar os aleloquímicos, como por exemplo o estresse ocasionado pelas microcistinas. A planta testada possui mecanismos de defesa enzimáticos que lhes permite coexistir com as microcistinas a curto prazo (cerca de oito dias), entretanto, a exposição prolongada (cinco semanas) às cepas tóxicas de *Microcystis* causa uma redução no crescimento da planta.

**Palavras chave:** alelopatia; cianobactérias formadoras de florações; estados alternativos dos lagos; estresse oxidativo; macrófitas submersas; microcistinas.

Amorim, Cihelio Alves; M.Sc.; Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco; August 2017; Mutual allelopathic effects of the aquatic macrophyte *Egeria densa* Planch. and the bloomforming cyanobacterium *Microcystis*. Ariadne do Nascimento Moura (Adviser), Cláudia Ulisses and Ênio Wocyli Dantas (Co-advisers).

### ABSTRACT

Aquatic macrophytes are important for the maintenance of clear alternative states of aquatic environments, because they can release allelopathic substances capable of inhibiting the growth of planktonic algae. On the other hand, in turbid environments, resulting from cyanobacterial blooms, the presence of cyanotoxins can negatively affect the macrophytes, causing oxidative stress and reducing their growth. In order to elucidate the mutual allelopathic relationships between bloomforming cyanobacteria and submerged macrophytes, we tested two hypotheses through laboratory studies: (1) the release of allelochemicals by *Egeria densa* reduces the growth rates of toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis; and (2) toxic strains of Microcystis inhibit the growth and biomass of E. densa, and cause oxidative stress on these plants. Based on this, two articles were written: (1) Why do aquatic macrophytes inhibit cyanobacteria? Allelopathic effects of *Egeria densa* Planch. on toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis; and (2) Can submerged macrophytes inhibit toxic and non-toxic strains of cyanobacteria equally? Allelopathic effects of Egeria densa Planch. on *Microcystis* spp. In the first article, we verified the influence of the coexistence of *E. densa* and the application of extracts of this plant (with dissolved allelochemicals) on the biomass and growth rates of toxic strain of *M. aeruginosa* (MC+) and non-toxic strain of *M. panniformis* (MC-). In the second, we evaluated the physiological and growth responses of E. densa cultivated with the MC+ and MC- strains, verifying the lipid peroxidation, hydrogen peroxide production, changes in photosynthetic pigment content and activity of the antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX). The MC+ strain was significantly inhibited when cultivated with E. densa, while MC- exhibited a contrary response, with stimulating growth. When cultivated under the influence of E. densa extract, MC+ and MC- strains were inhibited, but more significantly in MC-. The macrophyte presented a small increment in the total phenolic compounds production when in coexistence with the cyanobacteria, mainly with the toxic strain. The growth and biomass of *E. densa* were inhibited when in co-culture with the MC+ strain, showing few changes when cultivated with the MC- strain. In addition, the MC+ strain inhibited the emergence of shoots and roots in plants. Both strains showed a reduction in total chlorophyll levels, chlorophyll a and b, as well as an increase in the total carotenoids content. The plants cultivated with MC+ strain presented high lipid peroxidation rates and enzymatic activity, especially for the APX. Our results support the hypothesis that there are mutual allelopathic interactions between Microcystis and E. densa. However, E. densa needs a stimulant to release the allelochemicals, such as the stress caused by microcystins. The tested plant has enzymatic defense mechanisms that allow them to coexist with microcystins during short-time exposure (about eight days), however, prolonged exposure (five weeks) to toxic strains of *Microcystis* causes a reduction in plant growth.

**Keywords**: allelopathy; alternative states of lakes; bloom-forming cyanobacteria; microcystins; oxidative stress; submerged macrophytes.

LISTA DE FIGURAS E TABELAS	v
RESUMO	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
1 INTRODUÇÃO GERAL	11
2 REVISÃO BIBLIOGRÁFICA	13
2.1 Florações de cianobactérias e cianotoxinas	13
2.2 Macrófitas aquáticas e seu potencial alelopático in situ e in vitro	14
2.3 Efeitos nocivos das cianobactérias e cianotoxinas sobre as macrófitas aquáticas	18
2.4 Interações alelopáticas mútuas entre macrófitas e cianobactérias	19
REFERÊNCIAS	20
3 PRIMEIRO MANUSCRITO	
Can submerged macrophytes inhibit toxic and non-toxic strains of cyanobacteria e	qually?
Allelopathic effects of Egeria densa Planch. on Microcystis spp	28
Abstract	29
Introduction	30
Material and Methods	32
Organisms and culture conditions	32
Preparation of aqueous extract of E. densa	33
Phytochemical analysis and quantification of total phenolic compounds in E. densa	34
Experimental design	35
Determination of growth and inhibition rates	36
Statistical analysis	37
Results	38
Total phenolic compounds in <i>E. densa</i>	38
Effects of E. densa on biomass of Microcystis strains	38
Effects of <i>E. densa</i> on the growth and inhibition rates of <i>Microcystis</i> strains	39
Discussion	40
Conclusions	44
Funding	45
Authors contribution	45
Conflicts of interest	45
References	46
Figures legends	52

# SUMÁRIO

# **4 SEGUNDO MANUSCRITO**

Biometric and physiological responses of Egeria densa Planch. cultivated with toxic and non	1-
toxic strains of <i>Microcystis</i>	56
Abstract	57
1 Introduction	57
2 Materials and Methods	58
2.1 Culture of aquatic macrophyte and cyanobacteria 5	58
2.2 Identification of MCs in <i>M. aeruginosa</i> – NPLJ-4 strain	59
2.3 Exposure of <i>E. densa</i> to toxic and non-toxic <i>Microcystis</i> strains	59
2.4 Content of photosynthetic pigments 5	59
2.5 Hydrogen peroxide (H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) and lipid peroxidation in <i>E. densa</i>	50
2.6 Enzymatic analysis $\epsilon$	50
2.7 Data analysis $\epsilon$	50
3 Results	50
3.1 Effects of toxic and non-toxic strains of <i>Microcystis</i> on the growth of <i>E. densa</i>	50
3.2 Effects of toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis on pigment content of E. densa 6	51
3.3 Evaluation of oxidative stress in E. densa cultivated with toxic and non-toxic strains of	of
Microcystis	52
4 Discussion	52
5 Conclusions	53
Acknowledgements $\epsilon$	53
References	53
5 CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS 6	55
ANEXO	56

## 1 INTRODUÇÃO GERAL

O processo de eutrofização dos corpos hídricos, além do incremento da temperatura pelas mudanças climáticas, tem resultado em uma maior ocorrência de florações de cianobactérias tóxicas, gerando sérios problemas ecológicos (PAERL; HUISMAN, 2009; KOSTEN et al., 2012; O'NEIL et al., 2012; PAERL et al., 2016). Essa demasiada proliferação ocasiona graves consequências na utilização dos recursos hídricos, além de afetar as demais comunidades aquáticas, especialmente as macrófitas aquáticas (PAERL; OTTEN, 2013). Além disso, causa sérios prejuízos à saúde humana e animal, devido à liberação de cianotoxinas (CHORUS; BARTRAM, 1999). Deste modo, a redução das florações de cianobactérias tóxicas é prioritária no manejo das águas superficiais (HONG et al., 2009).

Geralmente, ambientes com florações de algas ou cianobactérias possuem uma baixa contribuição das macrófitas submersas, sendo a reintrodução dessa vegetação essencial na restauração destes ecossistemas (DONG et al., 2014). Uma vez que, a exsudação de aleloquímicos, compostos químicos liberados pelas plantas, é capaz de interferir no crescimento dos demais produtores primários aquáticos, como o fitoplâncton ou epifíton, fornecendo às macrófitas uma vantagem competitiva por luz e nutrientes (GROSS, 2003; HILT; GROSS, 2008; EIGEMANN; HILT; SCHMITT-JANSEN, 2013).

Rice (1984) definiu alelopatia como "qualquer efeito tóxico direto ou indireto de uma planta (incluindo microrganismos) em outra, através da produção de compostos químicos que escapam no ambiente". As reações aleloquímicas constituem a adaptação mais significativa das espécies e organização das comunidades, pois os aleloquímicos podem afetar a fisiologia, crescimento e desenvolvimento de outros organismos (REIGOSA et al., 1999; GROSS, 2009). Deste modo, as substâncias alelopáticas produzidas pelas macrófitas aquáticas atuam na inibição do crescimento fitoplanctônico, especialmente no controle de cianobactérias (VANDERSTUKKEN et al., 2014; ŠVANYS; PAŠKAUSKAS; HILT, 2014; ZUO et al., 2015). O modo de atuação destes aleloquímicos na redução das taxas de crescimento das cianobactérias é principalmente através da redução da atividade fotossintética (LEU et al., 2002; DZIGA et al., 2007; ZHU et al., 2010) ou causando estresse oxidativo (SHAO et al., 2009; ZHANG et al., 2010; CHENG et al., 2017).

Os aleloquímicos presentes nas macrófitas aquáticas apresentam diferentes formas de atuação em cepas tóxicas e não tóxicas de *Microcystis*, onde linhagens não tóxicas são mais sensíveis (ŠVANYS et al., 2016). Isso é devido principalmente a um mecanismo protetor das microcistinas contra o estresse oxidativo causado pelos aleloquímicos (DZIALLAS; GROSSART, 2011; ZILLIGES et al., 2011). Entretanto, pesquisas demonstram que este

mecanismo está relacionado à síntese e expressão gênica das microcistinas e não necessariamente às próprias toxinas (ŠVANYS et al., 2016). Porém, pesquisas envolvendo os efeitos alelopáticos de macrófitas sobre cianobactérias tóxicas e não tóxicas ainda não foram publicadas.

Macrófitas aquáticas e cianobactérias apresentam efeitos alelopáticos mútuos, através da liberação de aleloquímicos por ambas as partes, como compostos fenólicos pelas macrófitas e cianotoxinas pelas cianobactérias (MOHAMED, 2017). O principal motivo desta liberação é a inibição de seus competidores. Em ambientes aquáticos rasos, existe uma alternância na predominância de macrófitas e cianobactérias com consequências na dinâmica local. O estado alternativo claro é dominado por macrófitas, as quais inibem o fitoplâncton pela liberação de aleloquímicos e fornecimento de refúgio ao zooplâncton, enquanto que o estado túrbido é dominado por algas e cianobactérias, afetando as macrófitas através da síntese de cianotoxinas e sombreamento (SCHEFFER et al., 1993; 2001).

As macrófitas aquáticas são intensamente afetadas na presença de cianobactérias ou cianotoxinas, principalmente através da redução do crescimento e pigmentos fotossintéticos e estresse oxidativo (ZHENG et al., 2013; XU et al., 2016). Dentre estas cianotoxinas, as microcistinas, são as mais tóxicas e frequentes nos ambientes aquáticos (SVIRČEV et al., 2017), capazes de causar efeitos adversos a diversas plantas aquáticas (SAQRANE et al., 2007; ROMERO-OLIVA; CONTARDO-JARA; PFLUGMACHER, 2015a). Entretanto, as plantas possuem dois mecanismos fisiológicos capazes de diminuir os efeitos das cianotoxinas, a biotransformação e a defesa antioxidante (BABICA; BLÁHA; MARŠALEK, 2006).

Pesquisas que envolvem o efeito alelopático das macrófitas submersas sobre as cianobactérias são relevantes, pois buscam a elaboração de métodos eficientes no controle do crescimento destes organismos e auxiliam no melhor gerenciamento dos recursos hídricos, possibilitando melhorias na qualidade da água e diminuição de florações tóxicas. No entanto, o crescimento excessivo das cianobactérias, bem como a produção de toxinas, pode causar efeitos negativos sobre a vegetação aquática, como estresse oxidativo e bioacumulação de toxinas. Portanto, com o presente trabalho, nós verificamos os efeitos alelopáticos mútuos entre cianobactérias formadoras de florações do gênero *Microcystis*, com cepas tóxicas e não tóxicas, e a macrófita aquática invasora tropical, *Egeria densa* Planch.

O presente trabalho foi dividido em dois manuscritos, nos quais foram testadas duas hipóteses: (i) a liberação de aleloquímicos pela macrófita *E. densa* interfere negativamente no crescimento de cepas tóxicas e não tóxicas de *Microcystis*; e (ii) cepas tóxicas de *Microcystis* podem exercer um efeito negativo no desenvolvimento de *Egeria densa*, causando uma redução no crescimento e provocando estresse oxidativo nesta macrófita aquática.

## 2 REVISÃO BIBLIOGRÁFICA

#### 2.1 Florações de cianobactérias e cianotoxinas

A eutrofização e a subsequente formação de florações tóxicas consistem nos principais problemas que afetam os ambientes aquáticos atualmente, os quais trazem sérios prejuízos ecológicos e de saúde humana (ZHANG et al., 2011; 2014; ZUO et al., 2012; ZHOU et al., 2014). Inúmeros fatores favorecem o aparecimento de florações de cianobactérias em ecossistemas de água doce, com destaque para a eutrofização e a elevação da temperatura (PAERL; HUISMAN, 2008; PAERL; OTTEN, 2013; MARIANI et al., 2015; PAERL et al., 2016). Especialmente pelos efeitos das mudanças climáticas, as cianobactérias têm se tornado cada vez mais invasoras em ambientes de água doce ao redor do mundo (MOOIJ et al., 2007; DAVIS et al., 2009; ELLIOTT, 2012; PAERL; PAUL, 2012; MARIANI et al., 2015; LÜRLING et al., 2017).

Em ecossistemas aquáticos, as florações de cianobactérias podem ser dominadas por cepas tóxicas ou não tóxicas (CHORUS; BARTRAM, 1999; SVIRČEV et al., 2017), sendo as cepas não tóxicas de *Microcystis* consideradas melhores competidoras por luz, destacando-se nos ambientes em que ocorrem (KARDINÄAL et al., 2007). Porém, em condições de elevadas temperaturas e nutrientes, cepas tóxicas podem ser predominantes em relação às não tóxicas, com maior produção de microcistinas (DAVIS et al., 2009). Portanto, o controle das florações de cianobactérias é fundamental para minimizar os riscos de contaminação dos organismos aquáticos e do homem em áreas tropicais (HONG et al., 2009; ZHANG et al., 2014). Uma vez que as florações de cianobactérias podem se tornar mais intensas devido ao cenário de eutrofização e mudanças climáticas.

Os principais gêneros formadores de florações em áreas tropicais são *Microcystis*, *Planktothrix*, *Cylindrospermopsis* e *Anabaena* (MOWE et al., 2015), destacando-se *Microcystis aeruginosa* Kütz. como a principal espécie formadora de florações em ambientes de água doce (HARKE et al., 2016). Estes gêneros são produtores de microcistinas, cilindrospermopsinas, saxitoxinas e anatoxina-a (WIEGAND; PFLUGMACHER, 2005; PAERL; HUISMAN, 2009; PAERL; OTTEN, 2013; OTTEN; PAERL, 2015). A região Nordeste apresenta maior ocorrência de florações com registros tóxicos no Brasil. Especialmente no estado de Pernambuco, diversas cianobactérias foram registradas em florações tóxicas, destacando-se *Microcystis aeruginosa*, *Planktothrix agardhii* (Gomont) Anagn. & Komárek e *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* (Wolosz.) Seenayya & Subba Raju, tendo sido registrada a ocorrência de diversas cianotoxinas em reservatórios de abastecimento, como microcistinas, cilindrospermopsina, saxitoxinas e anatoxina-a(s) (MOLICA et al., 2005; BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA; SANTOS; MOURA, 2010; BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA et al., 2014; LORENZI et al., 2015; MOURA; ARAGÃO-TAVARES; AMORIM, submetido). Portanto, estratégias de controle das cianobactérias podem promover uma melhoria na qualidade da água para abastecimento, aumentando a oferta de água potável.

As microcistinas são as cianotoxinas mais amplamente distribuídas em ambientes aquáticos continentais e apresentam efeitos deletérios significativos nos organismos que entram em contato com variantes desta toxina (WIEGAND; PFLUGMACHER, 2005; MARTINS; VASCONCELOS, 2009; PAERL; HUISMAN, 2009). Mais de 240 variantes de microcistinas já foram descritas para os ambientes aquáticos de todo o mundo (SVIRČEV et al., 2017; SPOOF; CATHERINE, 2017), dentre estas, a microcistina-LR é a mais comumente registrada e atua principalmente inibindo as proteínas fosfatases 1 e 2A em plantas e animais (MacKINTOSH et al., 1990; DAWSON, 1998; CHORUS; BARTRAM, 1999).

As microcistinas são conhecidas por afetar diversos organismos que entram em contato com estas cianotoxinas, como por exemplo, hortaliças (CORDEIRO-ARAÚJO et al., 2015, 2016; BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA et al., 2016; DOBRAC et al., 2017; MACHADO et al., 2017), macrófitas aquáticas (MITROVIC et al., 2005; SAQRANE et al., 2007; ROMERO-OLIVA; CONTARDO-JARA; PFLUGMACHER, 2015a, 2015b), zooplâncton (LIANG et al., 2017a, 2017b), peixes (BOARU et al., 2006; FERREIRA et al., 2010) e mamíferos, incluindo o homem (CARMICHAEL et al., 2001; ZEGURA et al., 2003). O episódio mais conhecido de contaminação humana por microcistinas ocorreu em 1996 em Caruraru, Pernambuco, onde a presença destas toxinas na água que abastecia uma clínica de hemodiálise causou a morte de 76 pacientes (AZEVEDO et al., 2002).

2.2 Macrófitas aquáticas e seu potencial alelopático in situ e in vitro

Existem dois estados alternativos nos ambientes aquáticos, um claro, quando o ambiente é dominado por macrófitas aquáticas, e outro túrbido, devido à elevada biomassa fitoplanctônica (SCHEFFER, 1990; SCHEFFER et al., 1993; 2001). As plantas submersas são capazes de manter a qualidade da água em sistemas rasos (ZHANG et al., 2014), proporcionando uma maior transparência devido à liberação de aleloquímicos que atuam contra o crescimento fitoplanctônico (HILT; GROSS, 2008; BLINDOW; HARGEBY; HILT, 2014). A manutenção da claridade da água se deve também à capacidade das macrófitas de reduzir a resuspensão do sedimento e fornecer refúgio para peixes e zooplâncton, que atuam na herbivoria do fitoplâncton. No entanto, a elevada turbidez da água, proporcionada pelo crescimento fitoplanctônico, reduz o desenvolvimento das macrófitas devido à menor disponibilidade de luz na coluna d'água (TAKAMURA et al., 2003) (Figura 1).



**Figura 1.** Interações ocorrentes nos ambientes aquáticos que influenciam na alternância dos estados alternativos claro e túrbido, com destaque para as relações alelopáticas entre macrófitas e fitoplâncton. Relações positivas são representadas por linhas contínuas e negativas por linhas tracejadas. Redesenhado de Scheffer et al. (1993).

A alelopatia pode ser observada tanto em plantas terrestres quanto aquáticas. Nos ecossistemas aquáticos, plantas submersas e flutuantes, juntamente com as algas, desempenham uma função primordial na dinâmica local (PFLUGMACHER, 2002). Nesses ambientes, a alelopatia ocorre em todos os grupos de macrófitas e do fitoplâncton, incluindo as cianobactérias; seus efeitos geralmente são negativos para outros organismos vivos, comumente inibindo o crescimento e fotossíntese (ZAK et al., 2012). No entanto, Li et al. (2016) ressaltam que, além da alelopatia, outros fatores, como competição por luz e nutrientes, podem dar às macrófitas uma maior vantagem em relação às cianobactérias. Macrófitas que apresentam um potencial para a alelopatia podem ser utilizadas no processo de restauração de ambientes aquáticos eutróficos, uma vez que estas plantas podem controlar o crescimento algal, especialmente de cianobactérias (GROSS; MEYER; SCHILLING, 1996; GHOBRIAL; NASSR; KAMIL, 2015).

A alelopatia consiste na interação bioquímica entre dois organismos, principalmente plantas, os quais secretam substâncias que interferem no desenvolvimento dos demais seres vivos (PFLUGMACHER, 2002). Esses aleloquímicos, principalmente polifenóis, compostos sulfúricos, poliacetilenos e ácidos graxos (GROSS, 1999), são secretados diretamente na água, podendo agir mais eficientemente, minimizando as chances de ocorrência das florações de cianobactérias (CHEN et al., 2012), uma vez que estes organismos são mais sensíveis aos

aleloquímicos das macrófitas aquáticas que as clorófitas (KÖRNER; NICKLISCH, 2002; ERHARD; GROSS, 2006; ZHU et al., 2010).

Dentre os estudos realizados com macrófitas aquáticas e seus aleloquímicos no controle de microalgas e cianobactérias tóxicas, destacam-se os de Zuo et al. (2012) que analisaram os efeitos algicidas de *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (Mart.) Griseb. sobre o crescimento do fitoplâncton, os quais foram mais eficientes contra *Microcystis aeruginosa* e *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* H.Chick. Chen et al. (2012) verificaram que *Nymphaea tetragona* Georgi, *Typha orientalis* Presl., *Nelumbo nucifera* Gaertn. e *Iris wilsonii* Wright inibiram o crescimento de *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Zhu et al. (2014) constataram um rápido crescimento de *M. aeruginosa* no início do co-cultivo com *Cyperus alternifolius* L. seguido de um crescente decréscimo, demonstrando efeitos inibitórios.

Zhu et al. (2010) verificaram que os polifenóis isolados de *Myriophillum spicatum* L. causaram uma redução significativa do fotossistema II e de toda a cadeia transportadora de elétrons de *M. aeruginosa*. Chang, Eigemann e Hilt (2012) revelaram que *Myriophyllum verticillatum* L. e o ácido tânico por ela liberado inibiram o crescimento de *M. aeruginosa* em culturas puras, sendo estimulado quando co-cultivada com a clorofícea *Desmodesmus armatus* (Chodat) E.Hegewald. Zhang et al. (2014) registraram uma inibição significativa das cianobactérias tóxicas *Microcystis aeruginosa* e *Anabaena flos-aquae* Brébisson e da clorofícea *Scenedesmus obliquus* (Turpin) Kütz. pelos efeitos dos exsudatos de *Najas minor* All. Zuo et al. (2015) verificaram que as macrófitas aquáticas inibiram o crescimento de *M. aeruginosa* e *C. pyrenoidosa* (clorofícea) através de compostos alelopáticos de *Potamogeton crispus* L., *Nymphoides peltatum* (Gmel.) O.Kuntze, *Ranunculus sceleratus* L. e *Alternanthera philoxeroides*.

Fortes efeitos inibitórios de *Myriophillum aquaticum* (Vell.) Verdc. em coexistência com *Anabaena flos-aquae* e *Microcystis aeruginosa*, acompanhados pela inibição da enzima antioxidante superóxido dismutase, foram verificados por Wang et al. (2017). Diversas macrófitas mostraram efeitos alelopáticos contra cianobactérias no estudo de Grutters et al. (2017), os autores verificaram que a quantidade de compostos fenólicos, e consequentemente a atividade alelopática contra *Dolichospermum flos-aquae*, não diferiu entre plantas nativas e invasoras. A variabilidade no potencial alelopático das macrófitas foi explicada principalmente pela filogenia, estratégia de crescimento das espécies e relação carbono:fósforo, com eucotiledôneas emergentes possuindo maior potencial alelopático.

Li et al. (2016) mostraram que extratos aquosos do tubérculo de *Sagittaria trifolia* L. inibiram significativamente o crescimento de *Microcystis aeruginosa* de uma forma dependente da concentração, com uma taxa de inibição de até 90,4% com extratos a uma concentração de

100% (v/v). Os autores também observaram fortes danos oxidativos na cianobactéria, causados pelos aleloquímicos da macrófita. Gao et al. (2017) mostraram que *Microcystis aeruginosa* foi inibida com a adição de N-fenil-1-naftalamina isolado das raízes de *E. crassipes*. A inibição do crescimento foi acompanhada pela redução da atividade do fotossistema II, entretanto, em elevadas concentrações, *Microcystis aeruginosa* foi estimulada.

Estudos que visam avaliar os efeitos alelopáticos dos aleloquímicos sobre cepas tóxicas e não tóxicas mostram que as linhagens não tóxicas são mais sensíveis. Como verificado por Švanys et al. (2016), linhagens não tóxicas de *Microcystis aeruginosa* são mais sensíveis ao ácido tânico, mostrando que algo relacionado à síntese das microcistinas confere uma maior tolerância aos aleloquímicos para as cepas tóxicas. Entretanto, Mulderij et al. (2005) mostraram que uma cepa tóxica de *Microcystis aeruginosa* foi mais sensível aos exsudatos de *Stratioides aloides* L. que uma linhagem não tóxica.

Poucos estudos relatam os efeitos alelopáticos de macrófitas sobre o fitoplâncton em ambientes naturais, devido principalmente a atuação de outros fatores, como limitação por luz e nutrientes, competição e herbivoria. O primeiro estudo a comprovar a presença de respostas alelopáticas de macrófitas aquáticas sobre o crescimento fitoplanctônico foi o de Hilt, Ghobrial e Gross (2006), mostrando que *Myriophyllum verticillatum* inibiu o fitoplâncton, principalmente as cianobactérias. Os efeitos puderam ser atribuídos à alelopatia devido não haver diferença entre os outros parâmetros ambientais nos tratamentos controle e de coexistência com a macrófita. Mulderij et al. (2006) revelaram que a taxa de crescimento da comunidade fitoplanctônica foi significativamente inibida quando em contato com *Stratioides aloides*.

O estudo de Dong et al. (2014) mostrou que a introdução de *Ceratophyllum demersum* L. em um lago eutrófico inibiu o crescimento de *M. aeruginosa*, beneficiando na restauração do lago. Švanys, Paškauskas e Hilt (2014) verificaram os efeitos (limitação de nutrientes e alelopatia) de *Myriophyllum spicatum* sobre o fitoplâncton e afirmaram que a macrófita é capaz de controlar mais eficientemente as populações de cianobactérias, sendo que cepas tóxicas e não tóxicas de *M. aeruginosa* foram igualmente inibidas, causando uma maior taxa de produção de microcistinas. Efeitos negativos sobre o fitoplâncton foram observados para as macrófitas *Egeria densa*, *Potamogeton illinoensis* Morong e *Elodea nuttallii* (Planch.) St. John por Vanderstukken et al. (2011; 2014). Essas plantas inibiram o fitoplâncton pela competição por nutrientes, porém outros experimentos mostraram que mecanismos biológicos, como alelopatia, podem também ter sido responsáveis pela redução do crescimento fitoplanctônico. 2.3 Efeitos nocivos das cianobactérias e cianotoxinas sobre as macrófitas aquáticas

As macrófitas aquáticas, quando na presença de cianotoxinas, podem apresentar uma redução em seu crescimento acompanhado pela diminuição dos pigmentos fotossintéticos, além de estresse oxidativo devido a maior produção de espécies reativas de oxigênio (PFLUGMACHER, 2002; 2004; SAQRANE et al., 2007). No entanto, estas plantas possuem dois mecanismos de defesa que lhes permitem coexistir com estas cianotoxinas: a biotransformação e o sistema de defesa antioxidante (PFLUGMACHER; STEINBERG, 1997; BABICA; BLÁHA; MARŠALEK, 2006). Estes dois mecanismos são os mais eficazes no combate aos efeitos tóxicos gerados pela exposição às cianotoxinas (ROMERO-OLIVA; CONTARDO-JARA; PFLUGMACHER, 2015b).

No processo de biotransformação, ocorre a degradação das substâncias poluentes, dentre elas as cianotoxinas, e ocorre em três etapas. Na fase I ocorre a degradação e transformação das substâncias nocivas em compostos menos tóxicos. Na fase II estes compostos são conjugados à glutationa, os quais são metabolizados através da enzima glutationa S-transferase, deixando o composto com características mais hidrossolúveis. Na fase III, fase final da biotransformação, ocorre a compartimentalização das substâncias geradas na fase I, podendo ocorrer de duas maneiras diferentes, seja pela excreção (em animais), ou estocagem na parede celular ou em vacúolos (em plantas) (PFLUGMACHER; STEINBERG, 1997; DIXON; SKIPSEY; EDWARDS, 2010).

O sistema de defesa antioxidante das plantas aquáticas atua como uma resposta ao estresse biótico ou abiótico do meio. O principal modo de ação deste sistema é através da eliminação das espécies reativas de oxigênio, que podem causar danos às proteínas, lipídios e ao DNA (GILL; TUTEJA, 2010). O controle do estresse oxidativo nas plantas pode ocorrer através de mecanismos enzimáticos, através da atividade da catalase, superóxido dismutase, ascorbato peroxidase e glutationa peroxidase (MITTLER et al., 2004), ou não enzimáticos, com a atuação de carotenoides, compostos fenólicos, e tocoferóis (GILL; TUTEJA, 2010).

Efeitos nocivos das cianotoxinas sobre o desenvolvimento de macrófitas aquáticas foram verificados nos trabalhos de Ha e Pflugmacher (2013a; 2013b) e Ha, Contardo-Jara e Pflugmacher (2014), nos quais os autores mostraram que a macrófita *Ceratophyllum demersum* foi capaz de absorver uma grande quantidade de anatoxina-a nas primeiras 24 h do experimento, porém a planta reduziu seu crescimento após exposição à toxina, o que causou um efeito alelopático negativo, induzindo o estresse oxidativo na macrófita. O estudo de Rojo et al. (2013) mostrou que pequenas doses de microcistina-LR são capazes de afetar negativamente a

germinação e crescimento de algumas espécies de carofíceas e da angiosperma *Myriophyllum spicatum*.

Flores-Rojas, Esterhuizen-Londt e Pflugmacher (2015) mostraram que a exposição de *Lemna minor* L. à cilindrospermopsina causou um estresse oxidativo, porém, esta planta possui mecanismos de defesa que controlam os níveis de toxinas. Romero-Oliva et al. (2014) e Romero-Oliva, Contardo-Jara e Pflugmacher (2015a; 2015b) constataram que as macrófitas aquáticas *Ceratophyllum demersum*, *E. densa* e *Hydrilla verticillata* Royle apresentaram alterações fisiológicas e redução dos pigmentos fotossintéticos, quando expostas às microcistinas LR, RR e YR, porém, essas plantas acumularam e degradaram essas cianotoxinas. No entanto, os autores utilizaram extratos de florações, nos quais poderiam estar presentes outros compostos tóxicos diferentes das microcistinas, devido à presença de variadas espécies fitoplanctônicas. Ao exporem a macrófita *Ceratophyllum demersum* à neurotoxina  $\beta$ -Nmetilamino-L-alanina, Downing, Esterhuizen-Londt e Downing (2015) registraram que esta planta foi capaz de remover rapidamente a cianotoxina do meio, além de absorver e modificar covalentemente a  $\beta$ -N-metilamino-L-alanina no interior de suas células, excretando a toxina em uma forma não tóxica, não detectada durante a depuração do meio.

Zhang et al. (2014), verificaram que *Microcystis aeruginosa*, quando em elevadas densidades (10<sup>7</sup> cél mL<sup>-1</sup>), pôde inibir o crescimento de *Najas minor*, relacionando-se com a presença de cianotoxinas. Zheng et al. (2013) observaram que a germinação e biomassa de *Potamogeton malaianus* Miq. foi significativamente inibida pelos exsudatos de *M. aeruginosa* em altas concentrações, no entanto, extratos da cianobactéria não demonstraram efeitos na macrófita. Exsudatos e extratos de *Microcystis aeruginosa* também inibiram a germinação de *Ottelia acuminata* (Gagnep.) Dandy no estudo de Xu et al. (2015), os autores concluíram que plantas adultas promoveram o crescimento da cianobactéria testada. Xu et al. (2016) também perceberam um efeito negativo dos exsudatos de *Microcystis aeruginosa* sobre *Potamogeton crispus*, interrompendo a fotossíntese e causando estresse oxidativo desta macrófita.

#### 2.4 Interações alelopáticas mútuas entre macrófitas e cianobactérias

Esses estudos (apresentados nos tópicos 2.2 e 2.3) mostram que as cianobactérias e macrófitas aquáticas apresentam interações alelopáticas mútuas, com a liberação de substâncias que inibem o crescimento dos competidores, como os polifenóis (macrófitas) e as cianotoxinas (cianobactérias). Ambos os organismos liberam estas substâncias em situações de estresse, seja nas cianobactérias, ocasionado pelos polifenóis, ou nas macrófitas, provocado pelas cianotoxinas. Porém, ainda existe uma lacuna sobre como se dão estas relações entre macrófitas

e cepas tóxicas e não tóxicas de cianobactérias, especialmente sobre qual o papel das microcistinas no estímulo da liberação de aleloquímicos pelas plantas aquáticas. Além disso, ainda não se conhecem os efeitos de linhagens não tóxicas de cianobactérias sobre as macrófitas aquáticas.

## REFERÊNCIAS

AZEVEDO, S. M. F. O.; CARMICHAEL, W. W.; JOCHIMSEN, E. M.; RINEHART, K. L.; LAU, S.; SHAW, G. R.; EAGLESHAM, G. K. Human intoxication by microcystins during renal dialysis treatment in Caruaru—Brazil. **Toxicology**, v. 181, p. 441-446, 2002.

BABICA, P.; BLÁHA, L.; MARŠALEK, B. Exploring the natural role of microcystins—a review of effects on photoautotrophic organisms. **Journal of Phycology**, v. 42, n. 1, p. 9-20, 2006.

BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA, M. C.; CORDEIRO-ARAÚJO, M. K.; CHIA, M. A.; ARRUDA-NETO, J. D. T.; OLIVEIRA, Ê. T.; SANTOS, F. Lettuce irrigated with contaminated water: photosynthetic effects, antioxidative response and bioaccumulation of microcystin congeners. **Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety**, v. 128, p. 83-90, 2016.

BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA, M. C.; PICCIN-SANTOS, V.; MOURA, A. N.; ARAGAO-TAVARES, N. K.; CORDEIRO-ARAUJO, M. K. Cyanobacteria, microcystins and cylindrospermopsin in public drinking supply reservoirs of Brazil. **Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências**, v. 86, n. 1, p. 297-310, 2014.

BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA, M. C.; SANTOS, D. M. S.; MOURA, A. N. Toxic cyanobacteria in reservoirs in northeastern Brazil: detection using a molecular method. **Brazilian Journal of Biology**, v. 70, n. 4, p. 1005–1010, 2010.

BLINDOW, I.; HARGEBY, A.; HILT, S. Facilitation of clear-water conditions in shallow lakes by macrophytes: differences between charophyte and angiosperm dominance. **Hydrobiologia**, v. 737, n. 1, p. 99–110, 2014.

BOARU, D. A.; DRAGOŞ, N.; SCHIRMER, K. Microcystin-LR induced cellular effects in mammalian and fish primary hepatocyte cultures and cell lines: a comparative study. **Toxicology**, v. 218, n. 2, p. 134-148, 2006.

CARMICHAEL, W. W.; AZEVEDO, S. M.; AN, J. S.; MOLICA, R. J.; JOCHIMSEN, E. M.; LAU, S.; RINEHART, K. L.; SHAW, G. R.; EAGLESHAM, G. K. Human fatalities from cyanobacteria: chemical and biological evidence for cyanotoxins. **Environmental Health Perspectives**, v. 109, n. 7, p. 663-668, 2001.

CHEN, J.; ZHANG, H.; HAN, Z.; YE, J.; LIU, Z. The influence of aquatic macrophytes on *Microcystis aeruginosa* growth. **Ecological Engineering**, v. 42, p. 130–133, 2012.

CHENG, L.; HE, Y.; TIAN, Y.; LIU, B.; ZHANG, Y.; ZHOU, Q.; WU, Z. Comparative biotoxicity of N-Phenyl-1-naphthylamine and N-Phenyl-2-naphthylamine on cyanobacteria Microcystis aeruginosa. **Chemosphere**, v. 176, p. 183-191, 2017.

CHORUS, I.; BARTRAM, J. **Toxic cyanobacteria in water**: a guide to their public health consequences, monitoring and management. Londres, Nova York: E & FN Spon, 1999.

CORDEIRO-ARAÚJO, M. K.; CHIA, M. A.; ARRUDA-NETO, J. D. T.; TORNISIELO, V. L.; VILCA, F. Z.; BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA, M. C. Microcystin-LR bioaccumulation and depuration kinetics in lettuce and arugula: Human health risk assessment. **Science of the Total Environment**, v. 566, p. 1379-1386, 2016.

CORDEIRO-ARAÚJO, M. K.; CHIA, M. A.; HEREMAN, T. C.; SASAKI, F. F.; BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA, M. C. Selective membrane permeability and peroxidase activity response of lettuce and arugula irrigated with cyanobacterial-contaminated water. **Environmental Earth Sciences**, v. 74, n. 2, p. 1547-1553, 2015.

DAWSON, R. M. The toxicology of microcystins. Toxicon, v. 36, n. 7, p. 953-962, 1998.

DIXON, D. P.; SKIPSEY, M.; EDWARDS, R. Roles for glutathione transferases in plant secondary metabolism. **Phytochemistry**, v. 71, n. 4, p. 338–350, 2010.

DONG, J.; YANG, K.; LI, S.; LI, G.; SONG. Submerged vegetation removal promotes shift of dominant phytoplankton functional groups in a eutrophic lake. **Journal of Environmental Sciences**, v. 26, n. 8, p. 1699–1707, 2014.

DOWNING, S.; ESTERHUIZEN-LONDT, M.; DOWNING, T. G. β-N-methylamino-Lalanine (BMAA) metabolism in the aquatic macrophyte *Ceratophyllum demersum*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, v. 120, p. 88-92, 2015.

DZIALLAS, C.; GROSSART, H.-P. Increasing oxygen radicals and water temperature select for toxic Microcystis sp. **PLoS One**, v. 6, n. 9, p. e25569, 2011.

DZIGA, D.; SUDA, M.; BIALCZYK, J.; CZAJA-PROKOP, U.; LECHOWSKI, Z. The alteration of Microcystis aeruginosa biomass and dissolved microcystin-LR concentration following exposure to plant-producing phenols. **Environmental toxicology**, v. 22, n. 4, p. 341-346, 2007.

EIGEMANN, F.; HILT, S.; SCHMITT-JANSEN, M. Flow cytometry as a diagnostic tool for the effects of polyphenolic allelochemicals on phytoplankton. **Aquatic Botany**, v. 104, p. 5–14, 2013.

ELLIOTT, J. A. Is the future blue-green? A review of the current model predictions of how climate change could affect pelagic freshwater cyanobacteria. **Water Research**, v. 46, n. 5, p. 1364-1371, 2012.

ERHARD, D.; GROSS, E. M. Allelopathic activity of *Elodea canadensis* and *Elodea nuttallii* against epiphytes and phytoplankton. **Aquatic Botany**, v. 85, n. 3, p. 203-211, 2006.

FERREIRA, M. F. N.; OLIVEIRA, V. M.; OLIVEIRA, R.; CUNHA, P. V.; GRISOLIA, C. K.; PIRES JÚNIOR, O. R. Histopathological effects of [D-Leu<sup>1</sup>]Microcystin-LR variants on liver, skeletal muscle and intestinal tract of *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* (Valenciennes, 1844). **Toxicon**, v. 55, n. 7, p. 1255-1262, 2010.

FLORES-ROJAS, N. C.; ESTERHUIZEN-LONDT, M.; PFLUGMACHER, S. Antioxidative stress responses in the floating macrophyte *Lemna minor* L. with cylindrospermopsin exposure. **Aquatic Toxicology**, v. 169, p. 188-195, 2015.

GAO, Y.N.; GE, F.J.; ZHANG, L.P.; HE, Y.; LU, Z.Y.; ZHANG, Y.Y.; LIU, B.Y.; ZHOU, Q.H.; WU, Z.B. Enhanced toxicity to the cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa by low-

dosage repeated exposure to the allelochemical N-phenyl-1-naphthylamine. **Chemosphere**, v. 174, p. 732-738, 2017.

GHOBRIAL, M. G.; NASSR, H. S.; KAMIL, A. W. Bioactivity effect of two macrophyte extracts on growth performance of two bloom-forming cyanophytes. **Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research**, v. 41, n. 1, p. 69–81, 2015.

GROSS, E. Allelopathy in benthic and littoral areas: case studies on allelochemicals from benthic cyanobacteria and submersed macrophytes. In. INDERJIT; DAKSHINI, K. M. M.; FOY, C. L. (Eds.). **Principles and practices in plant ecology:** allelochemical interactions. Boca Raton: CRC press, 1999. p. 179-199.

GROSS, E. M. Allelopathy of aquatic autotrophs. **Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences**, v. 22, n. 3-4, p. 313-339, 2003.

GROSS, E. M.; MEYER, H.; SCHILLING, G. Release and ecological impact of algicidal hydrolysable polyphenols in *Myriophyllum spicatum*. **Phytochemistry**, v. 41, n. 1, p. 133-138, 1996.

HA, M. H.; CONTARDO-JARA, V.; PFLUGMACHER, S. Uptake of the cyanobacterial neurotoxin, anatoxin-a, and alterations in oxidative stress in the submerged aquatic plant *Ceratophyllum demersum*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, v. 101, p. 205-212, 2014.

HA, M. H.; PFLUGMACHER, S. Phytotoxic effects of the cyanobacterial neurotoxin anatoxin-a: morphological, physiological and biochemical responses in aquatic macrophyte, *Ceratophyllum demersum*. **Toxicon**, v. 70, p. 1-8, 2013a.

HA, M. H.; PFLUGMACHER, S. Time-dependent alterations in growth, photosynthetic pigments and enzymatic defense systems of submerged *Ceratophyllum demersum* during exposure to the cyanobacterial neurotoxin anatoxin-a. **Aquatic Toxicology**, v. 138-139, p. 26–34, 2013b.

HARKE, M. J.; Steffen, M. M., Gobler, C. J., Otten, T. G., Wilhelm, S. W., Wood, S. A., & Paerl, H. W. A review of the global ecology, genomics, and biogeography of the toxic cyanobacterium, Microcystis spp. **Harmful Algae**, v. 54, p. 4-20, 2016.

HILT, S.; GHOBRIAL, M. G.; GROSS, E. M. In situ allelopathic potential of Myriophyllum verticillatum (Haloragaceae) against selected phytoplankton species. **Journal of Phycology**, v. 42, n. 6, p. 1189-1198, 2006.

HILT, S.; GROSS, E. M. Can allelopathically active submerged macrophytes stabilise clearwater states in shallow lakes? **Basic and Applied Ecology**, v. 9, n. 4, p. 422-432, 2008.

HONG, Y; HU, H. Y., XIE, X.; SAKODA, A.; SAGEHASHI, M.; LI, F. M. Gramineinduced growth inhibition, oxidative damage and antioxidant responses in freshwater cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. **Aquatic Toxicology**, v. 91, n. 3, p. 262-269, 2009.

KARDINÄAL, W. E. A.; TONK, L.; JANSE, I.; HOL, S.; SLOT, P.; HUISMAN, J.; VISSER, P. M. Competition for light between toxic and nontoxic strains of the harmful cyanobacterium *Microcystis*. **Applied and Environmental Microbiology**, v. 73, n. 9, p. 2939-2946, 2007.

KÖRNER, S.; NICKLISCH, A. Allelopathic growth inhibition of selected phytoplankton species by submerged macrophytes. **Journal of Phycology**, v. 38, n. 5, p. 862-871, 2002.

KOSTEN, S.; KOSTEN, S.; HUSZAR, V. L.; BÉCARES, E.; COSTA, L. S.; van DONK, E.; HANSSON, L. A.; JEPPESEN, E.; KRUK, C.; LACEROT, G.; MAZZEO, N.; MEESTER, L.; MOSS, B.; LÜRLING, M.; NÕGES, T.; ROMO, S.; SCHEFFER, M. Warmer climates boost cyanobacterial dominance in shallow lakes. **Global Change Biology**, v. 18, n. 1, p. 118-126, 2012.

LEU, E.; Krieger-Liszkay, A.; Goussias, C.; Gross, E. M. Polyphenolic allelochemicals from the aquatic angiosperm Myriophyllum spicatum inhibit photosystem II. **Plant Physiology**, v. 130, n. 4, p. 2011-2018, 2002.

LI, J.; LIU, Y.; ZHANG, P.; ZENG, G.; CAI, X.; LIU, S.; YIN, Y.; HU, X.; HU, X.; TAN, X. Growth inhibition and oxidative damage of *Microcystis aeruginosa* induced by crude extract of *Sagittaria trifolia* tubers. **Journal of Environmental Sciences**, v. 3, p. 40–47, 2016.

LIANG, Y.; OUYANG, K.; CHEN, X.; SU, Y.; YANG, J. Life strategy and grazing intensity responses of *Brachionus calyciflorus* fed on different concentrations of microcystin-producing and microcystin-free *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Scientific Reports, v. 7, n. 43127, p. 1-12, 2017a.

LIANG, Y.; SU, Y.; OUYANG, K.; CHEN, X.; YANG, J. Effects of microcystin-producing and microcystin-free *Microcystis aeruginosa* on enzyme activity and nutrient content in the rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus*. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, p. 1-13, 2017b.

LORENZI, A. S.; CHIA, M. A.; PICCIN-SANTOS, V.; BITTENCOURT-OLIVEIRA, M. C. Microcystins and cylindrospermopsins molecular markers for the detection of toxic cyanobacteria: a case study of northeastern Brazilian reservoirs. **Limnetica**, v. 34, n. 2, p. 269-282, 2015.

LÜRLING, M.; van OOSTERHOUT, F.; FAASSEN, E. Eutrophication and Warming Boost Cyanobacterial Biomass and Microcystins. **Toxins**, v. 9, n. 2, p.64, 2017.

MACHADO, J.; AZEVEDO, J.; FREITAS, M.; PINTO, E.; ALMEIDA, A.; VASCONCELOS, V.; CAMPOS, A. Analysis of the use of microcystin-contaminated water in the growth and nutritional quality of the root-vegetable, *Daucus carota*. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, v. 24, n. 1, p. 752-764, 2017.

MacKINTOSH, C.; BEATTIE, K.A.; KLUMPP, S.; COHEN, P.; CODD, G.A. Cyanobacterial microcystin-LR is a potent and specific inhibitor of protein phosphatases 1 and 2A from both mammals and higher plants. **FEBS Letters**, v. 264, p. 187–192, 1990.

MARIANI, M.A.; PADEDDA, B.M.; KAŠTOVSKÝ, J.; BUSCARINU, P.; SECHI, N.; VIRDIS, T.; LUGLIÈ, A. Effects of trophic status on microcystin production and the dominance of cyanobacteria in the phytoplankton assemblage of Mediterranean reservoirs. **Scientific Reports**, v. 5, n. 17964, p. 1-16, 2015.

MARTINS, J. C.; VASCONCELOS, V. M. Microcystin dynamics in aquatic organisms. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B, v. 12, n. 1, p. 65-82, 2009.

MITROVIC, S.; ALLIS, O.; FUREY, A.; JAMES, K. J. Bioaccumulation and harmful effects of microcystin-LR in the aquatic plants *Lemna minor* and *Wolffia arrhiza* and the filamentous alga *Chladophora fracta*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, v. 61, n. 3, p. 345-352, 2005.

MITTLER, R.; VANDERAUWERA, S.; GOLLERY, M.; van BREUSEGEM, F. Reactive oxygen gene network of plants. **Trends in Plant Science**, v. 9, p. 490-498, 2004.

MOHAMED, Z. A. Macrophytes-Cyanobacteria allelopathic interactions and their implications for water resources management– a review. Limnologica-Ecology and Management of Inland Waters, V. 63, P. 122-132, 2017.

MOLICA, R. J.; OLIVEIRA, E. J.; CARVALHO, P. V.; COSTA, A. N.; CUNHA, M. C.; MELO, G. L.; AZEVEDO, S. M. Occurrence of saxitoxins and an anatoxin-a (s)-like anticholinesterase in a Brazilian drinking water supply. **Harmful Algae**, v. 4, n. 4, p. 743-753, 2005.

MOOIJ, W.M.; JANSE, J.H.; DOMIS, L.D.S.; HÜLSMANN, S.; IBELINGS, B.W. Predicting the effect of climate change on temperate shallow lakes with the ecosystem model PCLake. **Hydrobiologia**, v. 584, n. 1, p. 443-454, 2007.

MOURA, A. N.; ARAGÃO-TAVARES, N. K. C.; AMORIM, C. A. Cyanobacterial blooms in freshwaters bodies in a semiarid region, northeastern Brazil: A review. Submetido na **Journal of Limnology**.

MOWE, M. A.; MITROVIC, S. M.; LIM, R. P.; FUREY, A.; YEO, D. C. Tropical cyanobacterial blooms: a review of prevalence, problem taxa, toxins and influencing environmental factors. **Journal of Limnology**, v. 74, n. 2, p. 205-224, 2015.

MULDERIJ, G.; MOOIJ, W. M.; SMOLDERS, A. J. P.; VAN DONK, E. Allelopathic inhibition of phytoplankton by exudates from Stratiotes aloides. **Aquatic Botany**, v. 82, n. 4, p. 284-296, 2005.

MULDERIJ, G.; SMOLDERS, A. J. P.; VAN DONK, E. Allelopathic effect of the aquatic macrophyte, Stratiotes aloides, on natural phytoplankton. Freshwater Biology, v. 51, n. 3, p. 554-561, 2006.

O'NEIL, J. M.; DAVIS, T. W.; BURFORD, M. A.; GOBLER, C. J. The rise of harmful cyanobacteria blooms: the potential roles of eutrophication and climate change. **Harmful Algae**, v. 14, p. 313-334, 2012.

OTTEN, T. G.; PAERL, H. W. Health Effects of Toxic Cyanobacteria in U.S. Drinking and Recreational Waters: Our Current Understanding and Proposed Direction. **Current Environmental Health Reports**, v. 2, n. 1, p. 75–84, 2015.

PAERL, H. W., GARDNER, W. S.; HAVENS, K. E.; JOYNER, A. R.; MCCARTHY, M. J.; NEWELL, S. E.; QIN, B.; SCOTT, J. T. Mitigating cyanobacterial harmful algal blooms in aquatic ecosystems impacted by climate change and anthropogenic nutrients. **Harmful Algae**, v. 54, p. 213-222, 2016.

PAERL, H. W.; HUISMAN, J. Blooms like it hot. Science, v. 320, n. 5872, p. 57, 2008.

PAERL, H. W.; HUISMAN, J. Climate change: A catalyst for global expansion of harmful cyanobacterial blooms. **Environmental Microbiology Reports**, v. 1, n. 1, p. 27–37, 2009.

PAERL, H. W.; OTTEN, T. G. Harmful cyanobacterial blooms: causes, consequences, and controls. **Microbial Ecology**, v. 65, n. 4, p. 995-1010, 2013.

PAERL, H. W.; PAUL, V. J. Climate change: links to global expansion of harmful cyanobacteria. **Water Research**, v. 46, n. 5, p. 1349-1363, 2012.

PFLUGMACHER, S. Possible allelopathic effects of cyanotoxins, with reference to microcystin-LR, in aquatic ecosystems. **Environmental Toxicology**, v. 17, n. 4, p. 407–413, 2002.

PFLUGMACHER, S. Promotion of oxidative stress in the aquatic macrophyte *Ceratophyllum demersum* during biotransformation of the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR. **Aquatic Toxicology**, v. 70, p. 169–178, 2004.

PFLUGMACHER, S.; STEINBERG, C. Activity of Phase I and Phase II detoxication enzymes in aquatic macrophytes. **Angewandte Botanik**, v. 71, p. 144-146, 1997.

REIGOSA, M. J.; SÁNCHEZ-MOREIRAS, A.; GONZÁLEZ, L. Ecophysiological approach in allelopathy. **Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences**, v. 18, n. 5, p. 577-608, 1999.

ROJO, C.; SEGURA, M.; CORTÉS, F.; RODRIGO, M. A. Allelopathic effects of microcystin-LR on the germination, growth and metabolism of five charophyte species and a submerged angiosperm. **Aquatic Toxicology**, v. 144-145, p. 1–10, 2013.

ROMERO-OLIVA, C. S.; CONTARDO-JARA, V.; PFLUGMACHER, S. Antioxidative response of the three macrophytes *Ceratophyllum demersum*, *Egeria densa*, and *Hydrilla verticillata* to a time dependent exposure of cell-free crude extracts containing three microcystins from cyanobacterial blooms of Lake Amatitlán, Guatemala. Aquatic Toxicology, v. 163, p. 130-139, 2015a.

ROMERO-OLIVA, C. S.; CONTARDO-JARA, V.; PFLUGMACHER, S. Time dependent uptake, bioaccumulation and biotransformation of cell free crude extract microcystins from Lake Amatitlán, Guatemala by *Ceratophyllum demersum*, *Egeria densa* and *Hydrilla verticillata*. **Toxicon**, v. 105, p. 62-73, 2015b.

SAQRANE, S.; OUAHID, Y.; EL HASSNI, M.; EL HADRAMI, I.; BOUARAB, L.; DEL CAMPO, F.F.; OUDRA, B.; VASCONCELOS, V. Phytotoxic effects of cyanobacteria extract on the aquatic plant Lemna gibba: Microcystin accumulation, detoxication and oxidative stress induction. **Aquatic Toxicology**, v. 83, n. 4, p. 284-294, 2007.

SCHEFFER, M. Multiplicity of stable states in freshwater systems. **Hydrobiologia**, v. 200/201, p. 475-486, 1990.

SCHEFFER, M.; CARPENTER, S.; FOLEY, J. A.; FOLKE, C.; WALKER, B. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. **Nature**, v. 413, n. 6856, p. 591, 2001.

SCHEFFER, M.; HOSPER, S.H.; MEIJER, M.L.; MOSS, B.; JEPPESEN, E. Alternative equilibria in shallow lakes. **Trends in Ecology & Evolution**, v. 8, n. 8, p. 275-279, 1993.

SHAO, J.; WU, Z.; YU, G.; PENG, X.; LI, R. Allelopathic mechanism of pyrogallol to Microcystis aeruginosa PCC7806 (Cyanobacteria): from views of gene expression and antioxidant system. **Chemosphere**, v. 75, n. 7, p. 924-928, 2009.

SPOOF, L.; CATHERINE, A. Tables of microcystins and nodularins. In: Meriluoto, J.; Spoof, L.; Codd, G. A. (eds) **Handbook of cyanobacterial monitoring and cyanotoxin analysis**. Chichester: Wiley, 2017. pp 526–537.

ŠVANYS, A.; EIGEMANN, F.; GROSSART, H. P.; HILT, S. Microcystins do not necessarily lower the sensitivity of Microcystis aeruginosa to tannic acid. **FEMS microbiology letters**, v. 363, n. 2, 2016.

ŠVANYS, A.; PAŠKAUSKAS, R.; HILT, S. Effects of the allelopathically active macrophyte *Myriophyllum spicatum* on a natural phytoplankton community: a mesocosm study. **Hydrobiologia**, v. 737, n. 1, p. 57-66, 2014.

TAKAMURA, N.; KADONO, Y.; FUKUSHIMA, M.; NAKAGAWA, M.; KIM, B. Effects of aquatic macrophytes on water quality and phytoplankton communities in shallow lakes. **Ecological Research**, v. 18, p. 381–395, 2003.

VANDERSTUKKEN, M.; DECLERCK, S. A.; DECAESTECKER, E.; MUYLAERT, K. Long-term allelopathic control of phytoplankton by the submerged macrophyte *Elodea nuttallii*. **Freshwater biology**, v. 59, n. 5, p. 930-941, 2014.

VANDERSTUKKEN, M.; DECLERCK, S. A.; DECAESTECKER, E.; MUYLAERT, K. Long-term allelopathic control of phytoplankton by the submerged macrophyte *Elodea nuttallii*. **Freshwater Biology**, v. 59, n. 5, p. 930-941, 2014.

VANDERSTUKKEN, M.; MAZZEO, N.; van COLEN, W.; DECLERCK, S. A.; MUYLAERT, K. Biological control of phytoplankton by the subtropical submerged macrophytes *Egeria densa* and *Potamogeton illinoensis*: a mesocosm study. **Freshwater Biology**, v. 56, n. 9, p. 1837-1849, 2011.

WANG, H.; LIU, F.; LUO, P.; LI, Z.; ZHENG, L.; WANG, H.; ZOU, D.; WU, J. Allelopathic effects of *Myriophyllum aquaticum* on two cyanobacteria of *Anabaena flos-aquae* and *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology, v. 98, n. 4, p. 556-561, 2017.

WIEGAND, C.; PFLUGMACHER, S. Ecotoxicological effects of selected cyanobacterial secondary metabolites a short review. **Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology**, v. 203, n. 3, p. 201–218, 2005.

XU, R.; HILT, S.; PEI, Y.; YIN, L.; WANG, X.; CHANG, X. Growth phase-dependent allelopathic effects of cyanobacterial exudates on *Potamogeton crispus* L. seedlings. **Hydrobiologia**, v. 767, n. 1, p. 137-149, 2016.

ZAK, A.; MUSIEWICZ, K.; KOSAKOWSKA, A. Allelopathic activity of the Baltic cyanobacteria against microalgae. **Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science**, v. 112, p. 4–10, 2012.

ŽEGURA, B.; SEDMAK, B.; FILIPIČ, M. Microcystin-LR induces oxidative DNA damage in human hepatoma cell line HepG2. **Toxicon**, v. 41, n. 1, p. 41-48, 2003.

ZHANG, T. T. WANG, L. L.; HE, Z. X.; ZHANG, D. Growth inhibition and biochemical changes of cyanobacteria induced by emergent macrophyte *Thalia dealbata* roots. **Biochemical Systematics and Ecology**, v. 39, n. 2, p. 88-94, 2011.

ZHANG, T. T.; ZHENG, C. Y.; HU, W.; XU, W. W.; WANG, H. F. The allelopathy and allelopathic mechanism of phenolic acids on toxic Microcystis aeruginosa. **Journal of applied phycology**, v. 22, n. 1, p. 71-77, 2010.

ZHANG, T; LIU, L., YANG, X.; ZHANG, S.; XIA, W.; LI, C. Alelopathic control of freshwater phytoplankton by the submerged macrophyte *Najas minor* All. Acta Ecologica Sinica, v. 34, n. 6, p. 351-355, 2014.

ZHENG, G.; XU, R.; CHANG, X.; HILT, S.; WU, C. Cyanobacteria can allelopathically inhibit submerged macrophytes: Effects of *Microcystis aeruginosa* extracts and exudates on *Potamogeton malaianus*. Aquatic Botany, v. 109, p. 1–7, 2013.

ZHOU, Q.; HAN, S.; YAN, S.; GUO, J.; SONG, W.; LIU, G. Impacts of *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms stress on the physiological characteristics, microcystin production and release of *Microcystis aeruginosa*. **Biochemical Systematics and Ecology**, v. 55, p. 148-155, 2014.

ZHU, J.; LIU, B.; WANG, J.; GAO, Y.; WU, Z. Study on the mechanism of allelopathic influence on cyanobacteria and chlorophytes by submerged macrophyte (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) and its secretion. Aquatic Toxicology, v. 98, n. 2, p. 196–203, 2010.

ZHU, Z.; LIU, Y.; ZHANG, P.; ZENG, G.; HU, X.; LI, H.; GUO, Y.; GUO, X. Co-culture with *Cyperus alternifolius* induces physiological and biochemical inhibitory effects in *Microcystis aeruginosa*. **Biochemical Systematics and Ecology**, v. 56, p. 118–124, 2014.

ZILLIGES, Y.; KEHR, J. C.; MEISSNER, S.; ISHIDA, K.; MIKKAT, S.; HAGEMANN, M.; KAPLAN, A.; BÖRNER, T.; DITTMANN, E. The cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin binds to proteins and increases the fitness of Microcystis under oxidative stress conditions. **PloS one**, v. 6, n. 3, p. e17615, 2011.

ZUO, S.; FANG, Z.; YANG, S.; WAN, K.; HAN, Y. Effect of allelopathic potential from selected aquatic macrophytes on algal interaction in the polluted water. **Biochemical Systematics and Ecology**, v. 61, p. 133–138, 2015.

ZUO, S.; MEI, H.; YE, L.; WANG, J.; MA, S. Effects of water quality characteristics on the algicidal property of *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (Mart.) Griseb. in an aquatic ecosystem. **Biochemical Systematics and Ecology**, v. 43, p. 93–100, 2012.

# **1 3 PRIMEIRO MANUSCRITO**

ว	
2	

3	Can submerged macrophytes inhibit toxic and non-toxic strains of cyanobacteria
4	equally? Allelopathic effects of Egeria densa Planch. on Microcystis spp.
5	
6	
7	Cihelio A. Amorim <sup>a</sup> , Ênio W. Dantas <sup>a,b</sup> , Cláudia Ulisses <sup>a</sup> , Ariadne N. Moura <sup>a</sup> *
8	
9	
10	<sup>a</sup> Graduate Program in Botany, Department of Biology, Federal Rural University of
11	Pernambuco - UFRPE, Manoel de Medeiros Avenue, Dois Irmãos, CEP 52171-900, Recife,
12	PE, Brazil.
13	<sup>b</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, State University of Paraíba – UEPB, Horácio Trajano de
14	Oliveira Street, Cristo, CEP 58070-450, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil.
15	
16	Authors information:
17	CAA – ORCID 0000-0002-7171-7450, Email alvescihelio@gmail.com;
18	EWD – ORCID 0000-0003-0960-0750, Email eniowocyli@yahoo.com.br;
19	CU – ORCID 0000-0001-9723-5057, Email claulisses@gmail.com;
20	*ANM – ORCID 0000-0001-5093-2840, Email ariadne_moura@hotmail.com,
21	ariadne.moura@ufrpe.br (Corresponding author).
22	
23	
24	
25	Artigo submetido na Oikos (http://www.oikosjournal.org/authors/author-guidelines). Fator de
26	Impacto: 4,03; Qualis A1.

#### 27 Abstract

28 Shallow aquatic environments have two alternative states, one clear, dominated by aquatic 29 macrophytes, and the other turbid, with significant phytoplankton growth. However, little is known about which factors affect the allelopathic response of macrophytes on toxic and non-30 31 toxic strains of cyanobacteria, especially what causes the release of allelopathic compounds. Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that allelochemicals released by *Egeria densa* negatively 32 interfere in the growth of toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis*. We investigated the 33 effects of this macrophyte on biomass and growth and inhibition rates of a microcystin-34 producing (MC+) strain of *Microcystis aeruginosa*, and another non-microcystin-producing 35 (MC-) strain of *Microcystis panniformis*, through coexistence experiments and with 36 application of the aqueous extract of *E. densa*. This aquatic macrophyte has allelopathic 37 compounds that can act to inhibit cyanobacteria, such as polyphenols and flavonoids, with a 38 total concentration of phenolic compounds of 15.78 mg eTA gDW<sup>-1</sup> (mg equivalents of tannic 39 acid per g dry weight). The MC+ strain was significantly inhibited when in coexistence with 40 the macrophyte, whereas MC- was stimulated. With the application of the aqueous extract, 41 both strains were inhibited, but the non-toxic strain was more sensitive to allelochemicals. 42 These results demonstrate that E. densa has allelopathic compounds capable of inhibiting the 43 growth of *Microcystis*, but are only released under stress conditions, such as that caused by 44 the presence of microcystins in coexistence with the toxic strain. These results are important 45 for future biomanipulation strategies of aquatic macrophytes, in which the presence and 46 proportion of toxic and non-toxic strains of cyanobacteria should also be considered. 47 48

Keywords: Allelochemicals; control of *Microcystis*; cyanobacterial blooms; invasive aquatic
plants; polyphenols.

#### 51 Introduction

52 The control of toxic cyanobacterial blooms is the main problem for managing continental aquatic environments. These blooms are increasingly common and influenced by 53 several factors, such as eutrophication and climate change (Paerl and Huisman 2008, Smith 54 55 and Schindler 2009, Paerl and Otten 2013). However, this accelerated growth has several 56 negative impacts on aquatic biota and human populations, especially due to the release of cvanotoxins (Carmichael and Bover 2016). Cvanobacterial blooms can be formed by toxic 57 and non-toxic strains (Svirčev et al. 2017), however, with the increased eutrophication and 58 higher temperatures, toxic *Microcystis* blooms may become more significant, resulting in a 59 higher production of microcystins (Davis et al. 2009). Therefore, controlling cyanobacteria is 60 fundamental in reducing contamination for aquatic organisms and, consequently, humans. 61 62 Shallow aquatic environments have two alternative states, a clear state dominated by 63 aquatic vegetation, and a turbid state with high phytoplankton biomass (Scheffer et al. 1993, 2001). Macrophytes play an important role in maintaining the clear state, by providing shelter 64

for zooplankton, the main consumers of phytoplankton (Jeppesen et al. 1997), or by releasing
allelopathic substances that inhibit phytoplankton growth (Hilt and Gross 2008). Several

67 studies have demonstrated the potential of aquatic macrophytes in inhibiting cyanobacteria

68 growth, in particular *Microcystis aeruginosa* Kütz. (e.g. Chang et al. 2012, Švanys et al.,

69 2014), which is considered one of the most toxic and most commonly encountered

70 cyanobacteria in aquatic environments worldwide (Harke et al. 2016).

Several species of aquatic macrophytes are considered invasive, as they can colonize new areas and develop in a disorderly way, which can damage the dynamics and structure of native species (Havel et al. 2015). One theory that explains the success of these plants is the "new weapons hypothesis" (Callaway and Ridenour 2004), which predicts that some exotic plants possess biochemical weapons, such as the production of allelochemicals, that they use at new colonization sites and gives them a competitive advantage by increasing their invading

77	potential. The macrophyte Egeria densa Planch. Has caused great economic and ecological
78	losses and is currently one of the most harmful aquatic species, additionally, it has been
79	estimated that there will be an increase in the distribution of this macrophytes throughout the
80	world until 2070 (Gillard et al. 2017). The success of its wide distribution is associated with
81	its phenotypic plasticity, competition (whether by light or nutrients), propagule production,
82	mutualism, and invasional meltdown (Fleming and Dibble 2015). Moreover, E. densa can
83	suppress phytoplankton growth through competition for nutrients and release of
84	allelochemicals, which increases its colonization success (Vanderstukken et al. 2011).
85	However, when coexisting with toxic strains of Microcystis, this macrophyte is intensely
86	affected (Amorim et al. 2017).
87	The allelopathy between aquatic macrophytes and phytoplankton consists of
88	biochemical interactions between these organisms, which can inhibit or stimulate competitors
89	(Gross et al. 2007). The aquatic macrophytes can synthesize a wide variety of allelochemicals,
90	especially polyphenols, sulfur compounds, polyacetylenes, and fatty acids, that control
91	phytoplankton growth (Gross 1999). The synthesis and release of these compounds are
92	influenced by environmental variables, biological aspects of the species, or by biotic
93	interactions with other organisms (Bauer et al. 2009). Polyphenols are the most commonly
94	released allelochemicals and act differently on cyanobacteria, either by inhibiting or retarding
95	growth, oxidative stress, or reducing photosynthesis (Laue et al. 2014). In M. aeruginosa the
96	main action site for the allelochemicals is the photosystem II, through damage caused in the
97	electron transport chain (Zhu et al. 2010).
98	Despite this, little is known about how allelochemicals of aquatic macrophytes affect

bespite tills, little is known about now anerochemicals of aquate macrophytes arect
toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis*. Results among previous studies vary, with distinct
responses for toxic and non-toxic strains. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that toxic
strains of *Microcystis* are more sensitive to exudates of *Stratiotes aloides* L. (Mulderij et al.
2005). In addition, in a natural ecosystem, the macrophyte *Myriophyllum spicatum* L. was

also able to inhibit toxic and non-toxic populations of *M. aeruginosa* (Švanys et al. 2014).

104 Recently, non-toxic strains of *M. aeruginosa* were found to be more sensitive to

allelochemicals of aquatic macrophytes, such as tannic acid (Švanys et al. 2016). However,
studies on the allelopathic effects of submerged aquatic macrophytes on toxic and non-toxic
strains of cyanobacteria in coexistence have not yet been published. Therefore, knowledge
about how cyanotoxins stimulate the release of allelochemicals by aquatic macrophytes in
coexistence is scarce.

The objective of this study was to analyze the influence of allelopathic substances 110 emitted by the aquatic macrophyte E. densa on the growth of blooming cyanobacteria of the 111 genus *Microcystis*. Thus, we tested the hypothesis that the release of allelochemicals by *E*. 112 113 densa negatively impacts the growth rates of toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis. 114 Therefore, we evaluated the growth of cyanobacteria in coexistence with E. densa, to verify if 115 the macrophyte inhibits toxic and non-toxic cyanobacteria equally; and through the application of the aqueous extract of the plant to analyze if the plant has compounds that 116 inhibit the growth of toxic and non-toxic strains, which eventually are not released in 117 coexistence. 118

119

#### 120 Material and Methods

### 121 Organisms and culture conditions

122 Two strains of *Microcystis* were used in our experiments, one microcystin-producer

123 (MC+) and one non-producer (MC-). The toxic strain of *M. aeruginosa* (NPLJ-4) was

124 obtained from the Collection of Cyanobacteria of the Federal Rural University of

125 Pernambuco. This strain presents [D-Leu<sup>-1</sup>] microcystin-LR as the most representative toxin,

126 with about 90% of the total microcystins, in addition to three other unidentified microcystin

- 127 variants (Amorim et al. 2017). The non-toxic strain was *M. panniformis* (BCCUSP29)
- 128 obtained from the Brazilian Cyanobacteria Collection of the University of São Paulo. This

129	strain belongs to the <i>M. aeruginosa</i> complex, due to a great genetic similarity between these
130	species (Bittencourt-Oliveira et al. 2001), and does not produce microcystins (Bittencourt-
131	Oliveira 2003). The cultures of the cyanobacteria were kept in ASM1 nutrient medium
132	(Gorham et al. 1964) in a climatic chamber with controlled conditions of temperature (25°C $\pm$
133	1.5), light intensity (40 $\mu$ mol photons m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ), pH (7.5), photoperiod (12 h), and homogenates
134	three times a day. Cultures were maintained until a density of $1.5 \times 10^6$ cel mL <sup>-1</sup> of the MC+
135	strain and $2.5 \times 10^6$ cel mL <sup>-1</sup> of the MC- strain was obtained during the end of the exponential
136	growth phase. This difference was due to the lower cellular volume of <i>M. panniformis</i> ,
137	resulting in a biomass of 100 mg $L^{-1}$ for both strains (Amorim et al. 2017).
138	The submerged aquatic macrophyte E. densa was selected because of its potential
139	inhibitory effect on phytoplankton and cyanobacteria shown in previous studies of
140	coexistence in a subtropical reservoir (Vanderstukken et al. 2011). Branches of E. densa
141	(about 25 cm) were collected from the Tabocas Reservoir, in Belo Jardim, Pernambuco,
142	Brazil (8°14'32" S; 36°22'35") in September 2016, one month before the experiments started.
143	This reservoir presents conditions of mesotrophy without cyanobacterial blooms (Amorim et
144	al. 2017). In the laboratory, the plants were washed several times with running water and
145	ultrapure water to remove debris and periphytic organisms, they were then kept in 8L tanks
146	containing tap water under the same conditions for cyanobacteria cultures and constant
147	aeration. Apical branches of young plants (early stages of development) were used because
148	the meristematic growth tissues have a greater amount of active allelochemicals (Gross 2003)
149	and adult plants can stimulate the growth of cyanobacteria (Xu et al. 2015).

150

# 151 *Preparation of aqueous extract of E. densa*

152 Young *E. densa* branches were cut into small pieces, frozen at -80  $^{\circ}$  C, and freeze-153 dried. Then, the dried material was macerated in a mortar and immersed in 50% (v/v) 154 methanol for two hours at a ratio of 100 mL to 1 g dry weight (DW) of the macrophyte to extract allelopathic compounds (Erhard and Gross 2006). This solution was rotoevaporated
and filtered on glass fiber filters with 0.45 µm porosity. The aqueous extract was prepared
three days prior to the experiments, diluted in ASM1 medium with twice the nutrient
concentration and stored at 4 °C in the dark to avoid photodecomposition of the
allelochemicals.

160

Phytochemical analysis and quantification of total phenolic compounds in E. densa 161 Phytochemical analysis of E. densa was performed using analytical thin layer 162 chromatography. We used the extract of the plant extracted in 50% methanol prepared as 163 mentioned above. The extract was then separated into aqueous and chloroform phases to 164 165 distinguish hydrophobic and hydrophilic compounds. The presence of polyphenols, flavonoids, coumarins, saponins, tannins, and triterpenes, the eluents present in the mobile 166 167 phase, and the revealing substances for each metabolite are described in Table 1. Metabolites were detected through the application of specific revealing substances and visualization in 168 ultraviolet light (between 254 and 365 nm). The presence of saponins was determined by 169 170 shaking the extract on a vortex for 5 minutes.

To determine the total phenolic compounds (TPC), 10 mg of freeze dried E. densa 171 material was extracted in 5 mL of 80% ethanol for 10 minutes at 80°C and subsequently 172 173 centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes to remove the debris. Then, 2 mL of 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 2 mL of 0.01 M FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution in 0.01 N HCl were added to 1 mL of E. 174 densa extract according to the methodology of Hagerman and Butler (1978). After 30 175 minutes, the reduction of  $Fe^{3+}$  to  $Fe^{2}$  through the activity of the phenolic compounds was 176 checked spectrophotometrically at 510 nm and the amounts of the compounds determined by 177 the regression formula (Absorbance =  $(0.1109 \times \text{TPC}) - 0.0035$ , R<sup>2</sup> = 0.9999, p < 0.05) 178 obtained with the tannic acid calibration curve at concentrations ranging from 25 to 350 µg 179
mL<sup>-1</sup>. The results were expressed in mg equivalents of tannic acid per g dry weight (mg eTA
gDW<sup>-1</sup>).

182	To evaluate the production of total phenolic compounds in <i>E. densa</i> in response to
183	cultures with toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis, we carried out a parallel experiment
184	with the same plant and cyanobacteria biomass and the same conditions as the other
185	experiments (subsection 2.4). Three treatments were used to evaluate the producing of total
186	phenolic compounds, in coexistence of <i>E. densa</i> with the MC+ strain (1), coexistence of the
187	plant with the MC- strain (2), and control with the cultivation of the plants without
188	Microcystis strains (3). We checked the amounts of total phenolic compounds at the
189	beginning (day 0) and end (day 14) of the experiment. The analyses were performed
190	according to Hagerman and Butler (1978), however, 100 mg of fresh weight (FW) were
191	macerated and the results expressed in mg equivalents of tannic acid per g of fresh plant
192	weight (mg eTA gFW <sup>-1</sup> ).

193

# 194 Experimental design

195 Two experiments were performed in parallel to verify the effects of E. densa on toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis. Both started with the same cyanobacteria densities for 196 each strain,  $1.6 \times 10^6$  cel mL<sup>-1</sup> (± 0.29) for MC+ and  $2.55 \times 10^6$  cel mL<sup>-1</sup> (± 0.29) for MC-, 197 corresponding to the biomasses of 110.04 mg  $L^{-1}$  (± 19.7) and 105.29 mg  $L^{-1}$  (± 11.9), 198 respectively. The experiments were conducted in a climatized aseptic room with the same 199 conditions previously described for the cultivation of cyanobacteria. We used Erlenmeyer 200 flasks with 1000 mL capacity containing 850 mL of ASM1 culture medium, inoculated with 201 MC+ and MC- cyanobacteria cultures. 202

In the first experiment, we evaluated the effects of *E. densa* culture on the coexistence with cyanobacterial strains. Three days prior, the plants were washed five times with ultrapure water to remove algae and adhered animals, and were kept in ASM1 medium for acclimation.

When cyanobacterial cultures reached the predicted biomass, two macrophytes branches were 206 added, totaling a biomass of 8.2 gFW  $L^{-1}$  in the coexistence treatments with the toxic 207 208 (MMC+) and non-toxic (MMC-) strain, while the control treatments consisted of toxic (CMC+) and non-toxic (CMC-) cyanobacteria cultures without plants or extract. 209 210 In the second experiment, cyanobacteria responses to the application of E. densa extract with a concentration of 1 gDW L<sup>-1</sup> in both the toxic (EMC+) and non-toxic (EMC-) 211 212 strains were verified. The control treatments also consisted in the culture of cvanobacteria without the aquatic macrophyte or its aqueous extract, like the previous experiment. The same 213 amount of ASM1 medium, saturated in nutrients, was added to the control and coexistence 214 treatments, which corresponded to the extract volume applied in the EMC+ and EMC-215 216 treatments. The addition of nutrients in all treatments was to avoid nutrient limitation for cyanobacteria cultures during the experiments. At the end of the experiment, the cultures 217 218 submitted to the aqueous extract were re-inoculated in a new ASM1 culture medium to verify the viability of the cells present. 219 The two experiments were performed in three replicates per treatment for 14 days, and 220

the effects of coexistence and macrophyte extracts on cyanobacteria were analyzed every two days. On sampling days, 1 mL of the cyanobacteria cultures were collected to determine the density of the MC+ and MC- strains in all treatments, which was verified by counting the cells in the Fuchs-Rosenthal chamber. The biomass of the strains was determined through the biovolume calculations proposed by Hillebrand et al. (1999) considering the cellular dimensions. Then, the mean biovolume of the strains was multiplied by the density to obtain the biomass.

228

# 229 Determination of growth and inhibition rates

The growth rates (µ) of the MC+ and MC- strains submitted to the different treatments
were calculated based on the biomass values between the sampling days and the initial day of

the experiments. We used the formula proposed by Wood et al. (2005):  $\mu$  (d<sup>-1</sup>) = (ln(Nt) – 232  $\ln(Nt_0)$  /  $(t - t_0)$ , where N represents the cyanobacterial biomass on the different days of 233 234 experiments (t) and the initial time  $(t_0)$ . The inhibition rate (IR) of the cyanobacteria was expressed as a percentage and evaluated through the differences between the treatments for 235 236 the two strains, calculated as follows: IR =  $((Nt - Nc) / Nc) \times (-100\%)$ ; where Nt represents the biomass in the treatments of coexistence and application of the extract and Nc is the 237 biomass in the control treatments. Thus, an inhibition rate with positive values represent 238 stimulus, and negative values represent inhibition of the strains. 239

240

### 241 *Statistical analysis*

To verify the differences between total phenolic compounds between treatments at the 242 beginning and end of the experiment, we used a factorial ANOVA  $a \times b$ , which was also used 243 244 to verify differences in cyanobacteria biomasses based on time factors, treatments, and in the interaction between them. These analyses were followed by a one-way ANOVA to verify the 245 differences between treatments for each sampling days. Cyanobacteria biomass was compared 246 between treatments and days of sampling and not between strains, because they had different 247 growth patterns. To test the differences between the treatments for the average cell biovolume 248 of the strains, we performed a one-way ANOVA between the treatments. The values of 249 growth and inhibition rates were compared using the one-way ANOVA test for each sampling 250 day. Before performing the analyses of variance, the data was tested for normality using the 251 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and homoscedasticity using the Bartlett test. When we found 252 253 significant differences, the analyses were followed by the Tukey a posteriori test. Statistical analysis was performed using the R program with significance level set at p < 0.05 (R Core 254 255 Team 2017).

256

257

#### 258 **Results**

283

# 259 Total phenolic compounds in E. densa

260 In the foliar tissues of *E. densa*, polyphenols, flavonoids, triterpenes, and saponins were registered. In addition, this macrophyte had 15.78 mg eTA gDW<sup>-1</sup> of total phenolic 261 262 compounds, we used this amount in the aqueous extract during the experiment. We observed a small increase in the production of total phenolic compounds in E. densa when submitted to 263 264 MC+ and MC- strains during the experiment, accompanied by a reduction in production for 265 the control treatment, however, no significant differences were observed between the treatments (F = 1.253, p > 0.05) or sampling days (F = 0.1215, p > 0.05) (Fig. 1). 266 267 Effects of E. densa on biomass of Microcystis strains 268 The cyanobacteria tested showed different responses to allelochemicals of *E. densa*, 269 270 either in coexistence or with the application of the extract, with significant differences between treatments (MC+: F = 242.78, p < 0.001; MC-: F = 331.643, p < 0.001) and sampling 271 days (MC+: F = 119.87, *p* < 0.001; MC-: F = 4.458, *p* < 0.001). 272 273 The presence of *E. densa* in the coexistence experiment significantly delayed the growth of the MC+ strain, and generated an opposite response for the MC- strain by 274 275 stimulating growth, which was twice as high as in the control (Fig. 2). Inhibition of the MC+ 276 strain started on the second day, when the MMC+ densities were significantly lower than CMC+, becoming more pronounced throughout the experiment (Fig. 2A). On the eighth day 277 of the experiment, the MC-strain, in coexistence with E. densa, presented higher growth when 278 279 compared to the control (Fig. 2B). The aqueous extract of E. densa significantly inhibited the growth of the two 280 281 Microcystis strains evaluated, being more expressive in the MC- strain. Initially, there was a stimulation of the MC+ strain until the fourth day, however, from the eighth day, this line 282

presented a decrease, reaching a biomass lower than at the beginning of the experiment (Fig.

2A). The MC- strain was more sensitive to the allelochemicals present in the extract, being 285 inhibited significantly on the fourth day, with biomass close to zero at the end of the second 286 experiment (Fig. 2B). When re-inoculated the cultures of the MC- strain submitted to the 287 extract did not present growth due to the cellular unviability caused by the allelochemicals, 288 however, there was growth of the MC+ strain, demonstrating its lower sensitivity to the 289 extract.

We verified an increase in the biovolume of the cvanobacteria treated with the 290 aqueous extract of E. densa. While in the treatment of coexistence and in control there was a 291 reduction of the mean biovolume of *Microcystis* for both strains (Fig. 3). Cellular biovolume 292 of cyanobacteria presented significant differences between treatments of MC+ (F = 44.9, p <293 0.001) and MC- (F = 12.06, p < 0.001) strains. The values of the coexistence treatment did not 294 differ from the control for both strains (p > 0.05), while the cyanobacteria MC+ and MC-, 295 296 treated with the aqueous extract of E. densa were significantly higher in relation to the treatments of coexistence (MC+: p < 0.001, MC-: p < 0.05) and control treatment (MC+: p < 0.05) 297 0.001, MC-: *p* < 0.001). 298

299

# 300 *Effects of E. densa on the growth and inhibition rates of Microcystis strains*

The toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis* presented different growth rates in 301 response to the different treatments. For the MC+ strain, cyanobacteria grown with E. densa 302 was inhibited on the second day of experiment. The growth rate of EMC+ was higher than 303 that of the control until the fourth day, then decreased, with growth rates lower than control 304 305 starting on day 10 (Fig. 4A). From the beginning of the experiment, the MC- strain showed negative growth rates in the EMC- treatment, demonstrating the high sensitivity of this 306 307 cyanobacterium to the aqueous extract of E. densa. Unlike the microcystin-producing strain, the MC- strain had higher growth rates when grown with E. densa than the control (Fig. 4B). 308

Looking at Fig. 5, the different responses of the *Microcystis* strains to the *E. densa* allelochemicals become more evident. The inhibition rate was significantly different between treatments (F = 21.12, p < 0.001), mainly in the coexistence treatment, in which inhibition of the MC+ strain became a stimulus in the MC- strain (p < 0.001). For the treatment with aqueous extract of *E. densa*, both strains of *Microcystis* were significantly inhibited, showing no significant differences between the two (p > 0.05), and the MC- strain showing major reduction in growth.

316

# 317 Discussion

Our results show that *E. densa* has allelochemicals that are able to significantly inhibit different strains of *Microcystis* (Experiment 2), however when in coexistence, inhibition rates for toxic and non-toxic strains showed different behaviors (Experiment 1). In coexistence, *E. densa* significantly inhibited the growth of the microcystin-producing lineage, whereas there was a stimulation of the non-producing strain. These results suggest that the presence of microcystins in the culture medium may stimulate this macrophyte to release a greater amount of allelochemicals that are toxic to *Microcystis*.

More than 40 aquatic macrophytes have the potential to inhibit cyanobacteria, with 325 submerged species being the most efficiently allelopathic (Mohamed 2017). Many studies 326 involving the allelopathic activity of macrophytes were developed using plant extracts or the 327 allelochemicals dissolved in the medium at high concentrations, which do not reflect 328 329 conditions found in natural environments. In addition, the concentration of allelochemicals 330 released by macrophytes in lakes is much lower than the average lethal concentration required for inhibition of cyanobacteria (Nakai et al. 2000). However, studies have shown that a 331 332 submerged macrophyte was able to inhibit phytoplankton growth in a shallow ecosystem over long periods (Vanderstukken et al. 2014). Therefore, coexistence experiments, as the present 333 334 study, best reflect the conditions found in aquatic environments and can evaluate other factors

that affect cyanobacterial growth in addition to allelopathy, as competition for light and
nutrients, or mechanical effects of the plant. In our study, the effects of *E. densa* on the strains
of *Microcystis* could be attributed to allelopathy due to an increase in the biomass of the nontoxic lineage in coexistence with the plant, as well as the inhibition of both strains with the
application of the aqueous extract, demonstrating that there was no competition for resources
and no interference of plant morphology on the growth of cyanobacteria.

The submerged macrophyte E. densa is known to be an invasive species capable to 341 develop abundantly in aquatic environments (Riis et al. 2010). One of the factors that 342 guarantees the success of its colonization could be related to the production of a greater 343 quantity of phenolic allelochemicals that act to inhibit phytoplankton growth and other plants, 344 which could give this macrophyte a competitive advantage. However, contrary to this, 345 research suggests that invasive and non-invasive macrophytes do not present relevant 346 347 differences in total phenolic compound contents (Harrison et al. 2017). Moreover, these plants have similar allelopathic potential against cyanobacteria, regardless of the location of plant 348 origin, and the variability in allelopathic potential of the macrophytes is mainly explained by 349 phylogeny of the species, growth strategy, and the ratio of carbon and nutrients in the plant 350 (Grutters et al. 2017). 351

As verified in our study, E. densa possesses efficient allelopathic compounds in the 352 control of cyanobacteria, demonstrated by the presence of total phenolic compounds. Another 353 possible explanation for its success as an invasive, would be the presence of different 354 allelochemicals in this plant compared to those of the other plants in the introduced 355 356 environments. In these ecosystems, the other species do not present resistance to new allelochemicals, and are more affected in the presence of *E. densa*, as predicted by the "new 357 358 weapons hypothesis" (Callaway and Aschehoug 2000, Bais et al. 2003, Callaway and Ridenour 2004). 359

360 Many factors influence the allelopathic potential of macrophytes, as nutrient limitation, luminosity (Gross 2003), pH (Bährs et al. 2013), bacterial adherence (Bauer et al. 361 362 2012), seasonality (Bauer et al. 2009), and stage of plant development (Xu et al. 2015). In our experiments, the growth stimulus of the non-toxic strain proved the absence of competition in 363 364 coexistence with the plant, in addition, before the experiments we added an extra amount of nutrients to avoid resource limitation. Another important factor that influences the allelopathic 365 366 potential is the mixing of more than one allelochemical, which increases its inhibitory capacity (Zhu et al. 2010). The highest inhibition of the strains observed when treated with the 367 aqueous extract of *E*. *densa* may have been due to the action of several substances present in 368 the extract, which may have synergistic or additive effects on *Microcystis* growth. 369 370 Previous studies have demonstrated a variability in the inhibition of toxic and nontoxic strains of Microcystis caused by the allelochemicals of aquatic macrophytes, with non-371 microcystin-producing strains being more sensitive (Švanys et al. 2016). One of the main 372 forms of action of the allelochemicals is the promotion of oxidative stress in cyanobacteria 373 (Zhang et al. 2010, Cheng et al. 2017). However, toxic strains of Microcystis are less affected 374 375 by allelochemicals due to the action of microcystins on the protective system against oxidative stress (Dziallas and Grossart 2011, Zilliges et al. 2011). This fact justifies the lower 376 377 sensitivity of the microcystin-producing strain to the aqueous extract of E. densa. However, this protective mechanism is linked to some process related to the synthesis of microcystins 378 and not necessarily to the toxins per se, since a deficient strain for the production of 379 microcystins was also tolerant to the allelochemical tannic acid (Švanys et al. 2016). 380 381 Moreover, exposure of *M. aeruginosa* to allelochemicals causes an increase in the expression of genes related to the synthesis of microcystins (Shao et al. 2009), which increases their 382 383 protective capacity.

384 Different strains of the same phytoplankton species may exhibit different sensitivities
385 to environmental stressors. This characteristic has been demonstrated for several strains of

Scenedesmus subspicatus Chodat, which showed quite different responses to concentrations 386 387 of herbicide atrazine, suggesting that genetic alterations may provide different physiological responses to aquatic xenobiotics (Behra et al. 1999). These adaptations are well-considered 388 for epiphytic algae in response to allelochemicals of macrophytes, which co-evolve with host 389 390 plants, providing them resistance to allelochemicals (Hilt 2006). For phytoplankton, this relationship is not very clear, since several *Pediastrum duplex* Meyen strains, even with a 391 great variation in sensitivity to *M. spicatum*, did not show differences in the sensitivity to 392 strains isolated from lakes dominated by Myriophyllum or lakes without this macrophyte, 393 suggesting that there was no adaptation to the allelochemicals (Eigemann et al. 2013). 394 In addition, it is known that non-toxic strains are better competitors for light 395 (Kardinäal et al. 2007), whereas toxic strains have higher growth rates under conditions of 396 397 high nutrient concentrations (Vézie et al. 2002) and temperature (Dziallas and Grossart 2011). 398 However, under laboratory conditions, *M. aeruginosa* presents a reduction in the production of microcystins with the increasing temperature (Celeste et al. 2017). In response to 399 allelochemicals, non-toxic strains are more sensitive (Švanys et al. 2016), as demonstrated in 400 our study, in which the MC- strain showed lower growth rates in response to the 401 allelochemicals present in the aqueous extract of E. densa, and did not grow when re-402 403 inoculated in a new culture medium. In addition, the microcystin-producing strain was significantly inhibited by the plant, whereas the non-producing strain, when coexisting with 404 405 *E. densa*, grew more than double in relation to the control. The production of allelochemicals in plants is intensified under stress conditions, 406

either biotic or abiotic, and the synthesis of these compounds is regulated by stress intensity
(Reigosa et al. 1999, Gross 2003). Additionally, the combination of different stressors may
increase the production of phenolic compounds by plants and consequently alter the effects on
the target organisms of the allelochemicals (Einhellig 1996). Therefore, the presence of
microcystins in the cultivation of *E. densa* in coexistence with the toxic strain of *Microcystis*

412 acted as a stress factor to the plant, causing oxidative stress and leading the plant to produce a greater amount of polyphenols, especially flavonoids, efficient non-enzymatic antioxidants in 413 414 plants (Pietta 2000, Gill and Tuteja 2010), as demonstrated by the increase in the production of total phenolic compounds when in coexistence with the microcystin-producing strain. 415 416 Recent studies have demonstrated that E. densa is intensely affected when coexisting with microcystin-producing strains of *Microcystis*, with reduced growth, photosynthetic pigment 417 alteration, and oxidative stress (Amorim et al. 2017). In addition to the protective mechanism 418 of oxidative stress, phenolic compounds may also have acted as powerful allelochemical 419 inhibitors of the toxic strain of Microcystis, while the non-toxic strain showed an increase in 420 its growth, since the absence of microcystins did not cause the release of allelochemicals by 421 the plant in the medium, even having them in its tissues. 422

Few studies have shown the efficiency of macrophytes in the control of 423 424 phytoplankton from natural environments, especially cyanobacteria, through allelopathic mechanisms (Hilt et al. 2006, Švanys et al. 2014, Vanderstukken et al. 2014). In the eutrophic 425 environments there is an alternation in the dominance of the aquatic vegetation, with 426 427 submerged macrophytes being negatively affected under conditions of high nutrient concentrations, whereas floating macrophytes are favored (Scheffer et al. 2003). In addition, 428 submerged macrophytes produce less phenolic compounds under low luminosity conditions, 429 such as in turbid eutrophic environments dominated by phytoplankton (Cronin and Lodge 430 431 2003), making it difficult to control cyanobacteria by the allelopathic compounds of aquatic 432 vegetation.

433

# 434 Conclusions

This work demonstrates the allelopathic effects of a globally occurring invasive
aquatic macrophyte on toxic and non-toxic cyanobacteria lineages in coexistence, important
for understanding how cyanobacteria react to allelochemicals produced by aquatic vegetation.

Our hypothesis was partially confirmed, because only the toxic strain was inhibited in 438 coexistence with E. densa, suggesting that the microcystins acted as a stress factor to the 439 plants, which caused a higher production of allelochemicals. Although the non-producing 440 strain was stimulated in coexistence, E. densa demonstrated to have allelochemicals capable 441 442 of inhibiting both strains with the application of the aqueous extract, especially for the nontoxic strain. Therefore, the allelopathic response of this macrophyte is dependent on stress, 443 such as that caused by cyanotoxins, to release significant amounts of allelochemicals capable 444 of inhibiting the growth of cyanobacteria. This information is relevant in bioremediation 445 strategies of cyanobacterial blooms using aquatic macrophytes, since the proportion of toxic 446 and non-toxic strains may influence the allelopathic response of macrophytes. 447 448 Funding 449 450 This work was supported by Brazilian National Council of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq) (Process 471603/2012-0, 302068/2011-2 and 304237/2015-451 9) and Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES). 452 453 **Authors contribution** 454 CAA and ANM designed the study. CAA conducted the experiments and analyzed the 455 samples. CAA, CU and ANM performed the phytochemical analysis of E. densa. CAA, EWD 456 and ANM performed the statistical analysis. CAA and ANM interpreted the data and wrote 457 458 the manuscript with a critical revision of all authors. 459 **Conflicts of interest** 460 461 The authors declare no conflicts of interest. 462 463

- Amorim, C. A. et al. 2017. Biometric and physiological responses of *Egeria densa* Planch.
  cultivated with toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis*. Aquat. Toxicol. 191: 201–
  208.
- 468 Bährs, H. et al. 2013. Toxicity of hydroquinone to different freshwater phototrophs is
- influenced by time of exposure and pH. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 20: 146–154.
- Bais, H. P. et al. 2003. Allelopathy and Exotic Plant Invasion: From Molecules and Genes to
  Species Interactions. Science 301: 1377–1380.
- 472 Bauer, N. et al. 2009. Seasonal and interannual dynamics of polyphenols in *Myriophyllum*
- 473 *verticillatum* and their allelopathic activity on *Anabaena variabilis*. Aquat. Bot. 91:
- 474 110–116.
- Bauer, N. et al. 2012. Transformation and allelopathy of natural dissolved organic carbon and
  tannic acid are affected by solar radiation and bacteria. J. Phycol. 48: 355–364.
- 477 Behra, R. et al. 1999. Effect of atrazine on growth, photosynthesis, and between-strain
- 478 variability in *Scenedesmus subspicatus* (chlorophyceae). Arch. Environ. Contam.
- 479 Toxicol. 37: 36–41.
- 480 Bittencourt-Oliveira, M. D. C. 2003. Detection of potential microcystin-producing
- 481 cyanobacteria in Brazilian reservoirs with a *mcyB* molecular marker. Harmful Algae 2:
  482 51–60.
- 483 Bittencourt-Oliveira, M. D. C. et al. 2001. Genetic variability of Brazilian strains of the

*Microcystis aeruginosa* complex (Cyanobacteria/Cyanophyceae) using the phycocyanin
 intergenic spacer and flanking regions (cpcBA). - J. Phycol. 37: 810–818.

- 486 Callaway, R. M. and Aschehoug, E. T. 2000. Invasive Plants Versus Their New and Old
- 487 Neighbors: A Mechanism for Exotic Invasion. Science 290: 521–523.
- 488 Callaway, R. M. and Ridenour, W. M. 2004. Novel weapons: Invasive success and the
- evolution of increased competitive ability. Front. Ecol. Environ. 2: 436–443.

490	Carmichael, W. W. and Boyer, G. L. 2016. Health impacts from cyanobacteria harmful algae
491	blooms: Implications for the North American Great Lakes Harmful Algae 54: 194-
492	212.
493	Celeste, C. M. M. et al. 2017. Mathematical modeling of Microcystis aeruginosa growth and
494	[D-Leu <sup>1</sup> ] microcystin-LR production in culture media at different temperatures
495	Harmful Algae 67: 13–25.
496	Chang, X. et al. 2012. Do macrophytes support harmful cyanobacteria? Interactions with a
497	green alga reverse the inhibiting effects of macrophyte allelochemicals on Microcystis
498	aeruginosa Harmful Algae 19: 76–84.
499	Cheng, L. et al. 2017. Comparative biotoxicity of N-Phenyl-1-naphthylamine and N-Phenyl-
500	2-naphthylamine on cyanobacteria Microcystis aeruginosa Chemosphere 176: 183-
501	191.
502	Cronin, G. and Lodge, D. M. 2003. Effects of light and nutrient availability on the growth,
503	allocation, carbon/nitrogen balance, phenolic chemistry, and resistance to herbivory of
504	two freshwater macrophytes Oecologia 137: 32–41.
505	Davis, T. W. et al. 2009. The effects of temperature and nutrients on the growth and dynamics
506	of toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis during cyanobacteria blooms Harmful
507	Algae 8: 715–725.
508	Dziallas, C. and Grossart, HP. 2011. Increasing Oxygen Radicals and Water Temperature
509	Select for Toxic Microcystis sp PLoS One 6: e25569.
510	Eigemann, F. et al. 2013. Sensitivity of the Green Alga Pediastrum duplex Meyen to
511	Allelochemicals Is Strain-Specific and Not Related to Co-Occurrence with Allelopathic
512	Macrophytes PLoS One 8: e78463.
513	Einhellig, F. A. 1996. Interactions involving allelopathy in cropping systems Agron. J. 88:
514	886–893.

- 515 Erhard, D. and Gross, E. M. 2006. Allelopathic activity of *Elodea canadensis* and *Elodea*
- 516 *nuttallii* against epiphytes and phytoplankton. Aquat. Bot. 85: 203–211.
- Fleming, J. P. and Dibble, E. D. 2014. Ecological mechanisms of invasion success in aquatic
  macrophytes. Hydrobiologia 746: 23–37.
- Gill, S. S. and Tuteja, N. 2010. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic
  stress tolerance in crop plants. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 48: 909–930.
- 521 Gillard, M. et al. 2017. Present and future distribution of three aquatic plants taxa across the
- world: decrease in native and increase in invasive ranges. Biol. Invasions 19: 2159–
  2170.
- 524 Gorham, P. R. et al. 1964. Isolation and culture of toxic strains of Anabaena flos-aquae

525 (Lyngb.) de Bréb. - Verh. Int. Verein. Limnol. 15: 796–804.

- 526 Gross, E. M. 1999. Allelopathy in benthic and littoral areas: case studies on allelochemicals
- 527 from benthic cyanobacteria and submersed macrophytes. In: Inderjit et al. (eds.),
- 528 Principles and practices in plant ecology: allelochemical interactions. CRC press, pp.529 179-199.
- Gross, E. M. 2003. Allelopathy of Aquatic Autotrophs. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 22: 313–339.
- 531 Gross, E. M. et al. 2007. Searching for allelopathic effects of submerged macrophytes on
- 532 phytoplankton State of the art and open questions. Hydrobiologia 584: 77–88.
- Grutters, B. M. C. et al. 2017. Growth strategy, phylogeny and stoichiometry determine the
- allelopathic potential of native and non-native plants. Oikos in press.
- 535 doi:10.1111/oik.03956
- Hagerman, A. E. and Butler, L. G. 1978. Protein Precipitation Method for the Quantitative
  Determination of Tannins. J. Agric. Food Chem. 26: 809–812.
- Harbone, J. B. 1984. Phytochemical methods: a guide to modern techniques of plant analysis.
- 539 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall.

- Harke, M. J. et al. 2016. A review of the global ecology, genomics, and biogeography of the
  toxic cyanobacterium, *Microcystis* spp. Harmful Algae 54: 4–20.
- Harrison, M. M. et al. 2017. Phenolic content of invasive and non-invasive emergent wetland
  plants. Aquat. Bot. 136: 146–154.
- Havel, J. E. et al. 2015. Aquatic invasive species: challenges for the future. Hydrobiologia
  750: 147–170.
- 546 Hillebrand, H. et al. 1999. Biovolume calculation for pelagic and benthic microalgae. J.
  547 Phycol. 35: 403–424.
- 548 Hilt, S. 2006. Allelopathic inhibition of epiphytes by submerged macrophytes. Aquat. Bot.
  549 85: 252–256.
- Hilt, S. and Gross, E. M. 2008. Can allelopathically active submerged macrophytes stabilize
  clear-water states in shallow lakes? Basic Appl. Ecol. 9: 422–432.
- Hilt, S. et al. 2006. In situ allelopathic potential of *Myriophyllum verticillatum* (Haloragaceae)
  against selected phytoplankton species. J. Phycol. 42: 1189–1198.
- Jeppesen, E. et al. 1997. Top-down control in freshwater lakes: the role of nutrient state,

submerged macrophytes and water depth. - Hydrobiologia 342: 151–164.

- 556 Kardinaal, W. E. A. et al. 2007. Competition for light between toxic and nontoxic strains of
- the harmful cyanobacterium *Microcystis*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73: 2939–2946.
- Laue, P. et al. 2014. Natural xenobiotics to prevent cyanobacterial and algal growth in
- freshwater: Contrasting efficacy of tannic acid, gallic acid, and gramine. Chemosphere
  104: 212–220.
- 561 Mohamed, Z. A. 2017. Macrophytes-cyanobacteria allelopathic interactions and their
- implications for water resources management A review. Limnologica 63: 122–132.
- 563 Mulderij, G. et al. 2005. Allelopathic inhibition of phytoplankton by exudates from *Stratiotes*
- 564 *aloides.* Aquat. Bot. 82: 284–296.

- 565 Nakai, S. et al. 2000. *Myriophyllum spicatum*-released allelopathic polyphenols inhibiting
- 566 growth of blue-green algae *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Water Res. 34: 3026–3032.
- 567 Paerl, H. W. and Huisman, J. 2008. Blooms like it hot. Science 320: 57–58.
- 568 Paerl, H. W. and Otten, T. G. 2013. Harmful Cyanobacterial Blooms: Causes, Consequences,
- and Controls. Microb. Ecol. 65: 995–1010.
- 570 Pietta, P. G. 2000. Flavonoids as antioxidants. J. Nat. Prod. 63: 1035–1042.
- 571 R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
  572 for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- 573 Reigosa, M. J. et al. 1999. Ecophysiological approach in allelopathy. CRC. Crit. Rev. Plant
  574 Sci. 18: 577–608.
- 575 Riis, T. et al. 2010. Invasion strategies in clonal aquatic plants: Are phenotypic differences
- 576 caused by phenotypic plasticity or local adaptation? Ann. Bot. 106: 813–822.
- Scheffer, M. et al. 1993. Alternative equilibria in shallow lakes. Trends Ecol. Evol. 8: 275–
  279.
- 579 Scheffer, M. et al. 2001. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. Nature 413: 591–596.
- 580 Scheffer, M. et al. 2003. Floating plant dominance as a stable state. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- 581 100: 4040–4045.
- 582 Shao, J. et al. 2009. Allelopathic mechanism of pyrogallol to *Microcystis aeruginosa*
- 583 PCC7806 (Cyanobacteria): From views of gene expression and antioxidant system. 584 Chemosphere 75: 924–928.
- Smith, V. H. and Schindler, D. W. 2009. Eutrophication science: where do we go from here? Trends Ecol. Evol. 24: 201–207.
- 587 Švanys, A. et al. 2014. Effects of the allelopathically active macrophyte *Myriophyllum*
- *spicatum* on a natural phytoplankton community: a mesocosm study. Hydrobiologia
- 589 737: 57–66.

- Švanys, A. et al. 2016. Microcystins do not necessarily lower the sensitivity of *Microcystis aeruginosa* to tannic acid. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 363: fnv227.
- Svirčev, Z. et al. 2017. Toxicology of microcystins with reference to cases of human
   intoxications and epidemiological investigations of exposures to cyanobacteria and
- 594 cyanotoxins. Arch. Toxicol. 91: 621–650.
- 595 Vanderstukken, M. et al. 2011. Biological control of phytoplankton by the subtropical
- submerged macrophytes *Egeria densa* and *Potamogeton illinoensis*: A mesocosm study.
   Freshw. Biol. 56: 1837–1849.
- 598 Vanderstukken, M. et al. 2014. Long-term allelopathic control of phytoplankton by the
  599 submerged macrophyte *Elodea nuttallii*. Freshw. Biol. 59: 930–941.
- 600 Vézie, C. et al. 2002. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth of toxic and nontoxic
- *Microcystis* strains and on intracellular microcystin concentrations. Microb. Ecol. 43:
  443–454.
- Wagner, H. and Bladt, S. 1996. Plant drug analysis: a thin layer chromatography atlas. 2nd ed.
  Springer-Verlag.
- Wood, A. M. et al. 2005. Measuring growth rates in microalgal cultures. In: Andersen, R. A.
- 606 (ed.), Algal culturing techniques. Academic Press / Phycological Society of America, pp.
  607 269-285.
- Xu, R. et al. 2015. Recovery limitation of endangered Ottelia acuminata by allelopathic
  interaction with cyanobacteria. Aquat. Ecol. 49: 333–342.
- Zhang, T. T. et al. 2010. The allelopathy and allelopathic mechanism of phenolic acids on
  toxic *Microcystis aeruginosa*. J. Appl. Phycol. 22: 71–77.
- 612 Zhu, J. et al. 2010. Study on the mechanism of allelopathic influence on cyanobacteria and
- 613 chlorophytes by submerged macrophyte (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) and its secretion. -
- 614 Aquat. Toxicol. 98: 196–203.

615	Zilliges, Y. et al. 2011. The Cyanobacterial Hepatotoxin Microcystin Binds to Proteins and
616	Increases the Fitness of Microcystis under Oxidative Stress Conditions PLoS One 6:
617	e17615.
618	
619	Figures legends
620	Fig. 1. Total phenolic compounds (TPC) in <i>E. densa</i> submitted to the culture with
621	microcystin-producing (MC+) and non-producing (MC-) strains of Microcystis, compared to
622	control (CT) without the Microcystis strains, at the start (Day 0) and the end (Day 14) of the
623	coexistence experiment. Same letters represent no significant differences between treatments
624	or days (Tukey: $p > 0.05$ ).
625	<b>Fig. 2.</b> Evaluation of the growth (biomass) of the toxic <i>M. aeruginosa</i> - NPLJ-4 (MC+) (A)
626	and non-toxic M. panniformis - BCCUSP29 (MC-) (B) strains, submitted to the treatments of
627	coexistence (MMC+ and MMC-) and aqueous extract (EMC+ and EMC-) of <i>E. densa</i> , related
628	to controls treatments (CMC+ and CMC-). Different letters represent significant differences
629	between the treatments (Tukey: $p < 0.05$ ).
630	<b>Fig. 3.</b> Mean cellular biovolume of <i>M. aeruginosa</i> - NPLJ-4 (MC+) (A-C) and <i>M</i> .
631	panniformis - BCCUSP29 (MC-) (D-E) strains, submitted to the treatments of coexistence
632	(MMC+ and MMC-) and aqueous extract (EMC+ and EMC-) of <i>E. densa</i> , related to controls
633	treatments (CMC+ and CMC-).
634	Fig. 4. Growth rate of <i>M. aeruginosa</i> - NPLJ-4 (MC+) (A) and <i>M. panniformis</i> - BCCUSP29
635	(MC-) (B) strains, in coexistence with E. densa (MMC+ and MMC-) and with the aqueous
636	extract of this macrophyte (EMC+ and EMC-), compared to controls (CMC+ and CMC-).
637	Different letters represent significant differences between the treatments (Tukey: $p < 0.05$ ).
638	<b>Fig. 5.</b> Inhibition rate of the microcystin-producing (MC+) (A) and non-producing (MC-) (B)
639	strains of Microcystis, cultivated in coexistence with E. densa (MMC+ and MMC-) and its
640	aqueous extract (EMC+ and EMC-).

- **Table 1.** Mobile phase eluting system used for phytochemical analysis of the aqueous extract
- 642 of *E. densa*.

Metabolites	Eluting system	Revealed with	Reference
Polyphenols	$Toluene + HCO_2H + EtOH$	Sulfuric vanillin 1%	Harbone (1984)
	(40:50:25 v/v)		
Flavonoids	$AcOEt + HCO_2C + AcOH + H_2O$	Sulfuric vanillin 1%	Harbone (1984)
	(100:11:11:26 v/v)		
Coumarins	Ether + Toluene + AcOH 10%	KOH + EtOH 10%	Harbone (1984)
	(50:50:50 v/v)		
Tannins	$CHCl_3+CH_3OH+H_2O\\$	FeCl <sub>3</sub> 1%	Harbone (1984)
	(65:30:5 v/v)		
Triterpenes	$Toluene + HCO_2H + EtOH$	Heating to 100 °C by 5	Wagner and Bladt (1996)
	(40:40:10 v/v)	minutes	











MMC+ EMC+ CMC+ В С А Mean biovolume (µm<sup>3</sup>) 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 8 10 12 14 MMC-EMC-D Е F CMC-0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 Days 6 8 10 12 14 Days 6 8 10 12 14 Days 









### **4 SEGUNDO MANUSCRITO**

# Biometric and physiological responses of *Egeria densa* Planch. cultivated with toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis*

Cihelio A. Amorim<sup>a</sup>, Cláudia Ulisses<sup>a</sup>, Ariadne Moura<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Botânica, Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco - UFRPE, Av. Manoel de Medeiros, s/n, Dois Irmãos, CEP 52171-900, Recife, PE, Brasil.

\*Corresponding author: ariadne\_moura@hotmail.com; ariadne.moura@ufrpe.br

# **Highlights**

Microcystin producing strain inhibited *Egeria densa* growth, shoot and root emission Toxic strain of *Microcystis* caused significant lipid peroxidation in *E. densa Egeria densa* increased the anti-oxidative system against toxic *Microcystis Microcystis* caused an increase and then a decrease in enzymatic activity of *E. densa* Non-toxic strain of *Microcystis* did not cause severe damage to the *E. densa* 

Artigo publicado na **Aquatic Toxicology** (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.08.012). Fator de Impacto: 4,129; Qualis A1 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aqtox

# Biometric and physiological responses of *Egeria densa* Planch. cultivated with toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis*



#### Cihelio A. Amorim, Cláudia Ulisses, Ariadne Nascimento Moura\*

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Botânica, Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco – UFRPE, Av. Manoel de Medeiros, s/n, Dois Irmãos, CEP 52171-900, Recife, PE, Brasil, Brazil

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Aquatic macrophytes Cyanotoxins Growth inhibition Microcystins Microcystis aeruginosa Oxidative stress

#### ABSTRACT

Cyanobacterial blooms are becoming increasingly common in aquatic environments around the world, mainly due to eutrophication and climate change. Cyanotoxin-producing strains (e.g., microcystins (MC) producers) may be present in these blooms, affecting the growth of other aquatic organisms, such as aquatic macrophytes. In this study, we evaluated the morphometric and physiological responses of the aquatic macrophyte Egeria densa to the exposure to a toxic strain of Microcystis aeruginosa (MCs producer) and a non-toxic Microcystis panniformis (non-MC producer). The effects of Microcystis strains on E. densa growth and biomass were verified for five weeks (Experiment 1) and physiological responses were evaluated for 14 days (Experiment 2). Prolonged exposure of E. densa to the MC producing strain reduced growth, accompanied by the inhibition of shoot and root emission. Both Microcystis strains caused a decrease in the content of photosynthetic pigments, like total chlorophyll and chlorophyll a and b, accompanied by an increase of carotenoids. At the beginning of the MC-producing strain exposure, E. densa showed an increase in the activity of the anti-oxidative enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX), accompanied by an increase in the levels of malondialdehyde, indicating lipid peroxidation. During the 14th day of exposure, the activity of antioxidant enzymes remained similar to the control, suggesting that E. densa has an efficient anti-oxidative system to control the reactive oxygen species produced in response to the stress caused by microcystins. However, when prolonged exposure occurred, possible damage to proteins may have affected the growth and development of E. densa. No changes were observed in the enzymatic activity of the plants exposed to the non-MC producing strain, suggesting that this cyanobacterial strain do not cause significant damage to the development of E. densa. These results are important for understanding the anti-oxidative defense mechanisms of aquatic macrophytes when coexisting with an MC producing strain.

#### 1. Introduction

Aquatic macrophytes are important for maintaining a clear state of shallow lakes (Scheffer et al., 1993), because they present mechanisms that reduce the amount of phytoplankton biomass, either indirectly, by providing shelter for zooplankton (Jeppesen et al., 1997), or directly, by releasing chemical compounds that inhibit phytoplankton growth (Hilt and Gross, 2008; Eigemann et al., 2013). However, eutrophication and global temperature rise, as effects of climate change, favor the persistence of harmful cyanobacterial blooms (CyanoHABs) (Mariani et al., 2015; Paerl et al., 2016; Lürling et al., 2017). These blooms may pose contamination risk to man and aquatic organisms, mainly due to the release of cyanotoxins (Paerl and Otten, 2013; Carmichael and Boyer, 2016). The synthesis of these toxins in blooming events favors cyanobacteria growth, as it inhibits the growth of competing species

through allelopathy and kills the predators of cyanobacteria (Granéli et al., 2008). Submerged macrophytes are strongly affected by these cyanotoxins because they are in direct contact with these metabolites in the water (Pflugmacher, 2004).

Among the bloom-forming cyanobacteria, *Microcystis aeruginosa* Kütz. stands out as the most commonly recorded species in continental aquatic environments around the world (Harke et al., 2016). This species is able to synthesize several variants of microcystins (MCs), which are the most harmful and widely distributed hepatotoxins (Martins and Vasconcelos, 2009; Paerl and Huisman, 2009). To date, more than 240 variants of MCs are known (Svirčev et al., 2017; Spoof and Catherine, 2017), among them MC-LR is the most frequent in aquatic ecosystems and mainly acts in the inhibition of protein phosphatases 1 and 2A (PP1 and PP2A, respectively) (MacKintosh et al., 1990; Dawson, 1998). The toxicity of MCs has been demonstrated in

\* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: ariadne\_moura@hotmail.com, ariadne.moura@ufrpe.br (A.N. Moura).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.08.012

Received 14 July 2017; Received in revised form 14 August 2017; Accepted 16 August 2017 0166-445X/@ 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



**Fig. 1.** Evaluation of the biomass (A) and length (B) of *E. densa* submitted to the culture with toxic (MC+) and non-toxic (MC-) strains of *Microcystis* and in the control (CT). Different letters represent significant differences between treatments (Tukey: p < 0.05).



Fig. 2. Development of *E. densa* after five weeks (35 days) of cultivation in coexistence with toxic (MC+) and non-toxic (MC-) strains of *Microcystis* and in the control (CT), showing the emission of shoots (S) and roots (R).

cultured plants (Bittencourt-Oliveira et al., 2016), aquatic macrophytes (Romero-Oliva et al., 2015a), zooplankton (Liang et al., 2017), fish (Boaru et al., 2006), and mammals, including man (Carmichael et al., 2001; Žegura et al., 2003).

In aquatic plants, MCs can cause a reduction in growth, alteration in photosynthetic pigments or oxidative stress through increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Sagrane et al., 2007). However, these plants have two defense mechanisms that allow them to coexist with these cyanotoxins, biotransformation and anti-oxidative defense (Babica et al., 2006). These strategies are the most efficient against the harmful effects caused by MC exposure (Romero-Oliva et al., 2015b). Biotransformation is the process of degradation of the polluting substances, among them the MCs, and occurs in three phases. In stage I the harmful substances are transformed into less toxic compounds; in phase II these compounds are conjugated to glutathione (GSH) to be metabolized by glutathione S-transferase (GST); in phase III these substances are compartmentalized in the cell wall or vacuoles (Dixon et al., 2010). The anti-oxidative system acts as a response to biotic or abiotic stress and the main mode of action is through the elimination of ROS (e.g.,  $O_2 \cdot \bar{}$ ,  $H_2O_2$ ,  $OH \cdot e^{-1}O_2$ ; Mittler, 2017), which can cause damage to proteins, lipids, and DNA (Gill and Tuteja, 2010). The control of oxidative stress in plants can occur through enzymatic mechanisms, as catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and glutathione peroxidase activity (Mittler et al., 2004), or nonenzymatic activity, through the performance of carotenoids, phenolic compounds, and tocopherols (Gill and Tuteja, 2010).

Thus, we investigated the physiological and growth responses of *Egeria densa* Planch. to the exposure of toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis*. The submerged aquatic macrophyte *E. densa* occurs abundantly in tropical regions, and can form large stands. Considering this,

we verified whether this macrophyte supports coexistence with a MCproducing *Microcystis* strain, especially [D-Leu<sup>1</sup>]MC-LR, as well as compared the performance of plants growing with a non-MC producing strain. With this, we aimed to verify how the submerged aquatic macrophytes were affected when cultivated with MCs producing cyanobacteria and what are their defense mechanisms against these toxins.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Culture of aquatic macrophyte and cyanobacteria

The aquatic macrophyte *E. densa* was collected from the Tabocas Reservoir, a mesotrophic reservoir without cyanobacterial bloom events, which is located in Belo Jardim, Pernambuco, Brazil (8°14'32 "S, 36°22'35"). The plants were identified with help of taxonomic keys and specialized literature (Cook and Urmi-König, 1984). Branches 25 cm in length were washed several times with running water, followed by Milli-Q water to completely remove debris and organisms adhered to the plants. The macrophytes were cultivated in 8-L aquaria containing tap water, at a temperature of 25 °C, constant aeration, photoperiod of 12 h and light intensity of 40 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, which was measured with a photometer (LI-COR model LI-250).

The *E. densa* macrophyte was exposed to two strains of *Microcystis*. The *M. aeruginosa* – NPLJ-4 strain (MC + ) produces 4 MC variants, mainly [D-Leu<sup>1</sup>]MC-LR with almost 90% of the total MC content, the other variants were not identified. This strain was obtained from the Coleção de Cultivo de Cianobactérias from Unidade Acadêmica de Garanhuns at the Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco. The *M. panniformis* – BCCUSP29 strain (MC-), non-MC producing (Bittencourt-Oliveira, 2003), was obtained from the Brazilian Cyanobacteria





**Fig. 3.** Photosynthetic pigment content in *E. densa* submitted to the culture with toxic (MC+) and non-toxic (MC -) strains of *Microcystis* and in the control (CT). A: chlorophyll *a*; B: chlorophyll *b*; C: total chlorophyll; D: total carotenoids. Different letters show significant differences between treatments (Tukey: p < 0.05).

Collection at the Universidade de São Paulo. The cultures of these cyanobacteria were carried out in Erlenmeyer flasks (1-L capacity) containing 850 mL of ASM1 medium (Gorham et al., 1964), in a climatic chamber with a temperature of 25 °C, light intensity of 40 µmol photons  $m^{-2}\,s^{-1}$ , photoperiod of 12 h, pH 7.5, and were manually homogenized three times a day.

#### 2.2. Identification of MCs in M. aeruginosa - NPLJ-4 strain

The cultures of *M. aeruginosa* at the end of exponential growth phase were lyophilized, added to 75% methanol and then the solution was stirred for 1 h for extraction of microcystins (MCs). The sample was centrifuged (11,180 × g for 10 min at 4 °C) and the supernatant was reextracted twice for 30 min (Fastner et al., 1998). The solution was dried under warm air, resuspended in 2 mL of 20% methanol (HPLC-grade) and then frozen at -18 °C until the high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis.

The analysis was carried out in a HPLC (LC20A model, Shimadzu Prominence, Japan) equipped with a C18 column (5 µm diameter particles, 250 × 4.6 mm), UV-vis detector with diode array, oven at 40 °C and the mobile phase was water and acetonitrile both containing 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (Lawton et al., 1994). The flow rate was 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and the injection volume was 40 µL. The composition gradient was 30% of acetonitrile for 10 min, 35% until 30 min and then 70% after 30 min. The chromatograms were monitored at 238 nm and the absorption spectra of the peaks observed between 200 and 300 nm. The MCs produced by *M. aeruginosa* – NPLJ-4 were identified by comparisons of their absorption spectra with a MC-LR standard.

#### 2.3. Exposure of E. densa to toxic and non-toxic Microcystis strains

Two experiments were carried out in parallel, in which the *E. densa* branches were exposed to the cultures of the producing (MC+) and non-producing (MC-) strains of MCs. The experiments were carried out in 1-L Erlenmeyer flasks containing 850 mL of culture medium and the cyanobacteria with a biomass of 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at the end of exponential growth phase. The control treatment contained only ASM1 medium to verify the independent effects of the strains. The experiments were conducted with three replicates for each treatment in a climatized room with the same plant and cyanobacteria culture conditions. Three days before the experiments the plants were cut and grown in ASM1 nutrient medium for acclimatization.

In the first experiment, 3 g of *E. densa* were exposed to the MC+ and MC- cultures to determine the growth (length) and biomass (fresh weight) of the macrophytes main branches, weekly for five weeks. In the second experiment 4 g of the plant were exposed to the strains for physiological analysis. Samples were collected on days 0, 2, 4, 8, and 14. For that, 0.7 g of fresh weight (FW) were removed by a cutting from the plants in each replicate, washed with Milli-Q water to remove adhered *Microcystis* cells and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20 °C for further analyzes.

#### 2.4. Content of photosynthetic pigments

The photosynthetic pigments were extracted with 80% acetone (v/v) according to Lichtenthaler (1987). Plant tissue samples (0.1 gFW) was macerated in 80% acetone with mortar and pestle, then the extract was preserved in the dark at 4 °C for 24 h. The extract was centrifuged at 11,180 × g for 10 min to remove tissue debris and the absorbance of

#### Table 1

Results of factorial ANOVA a  $\times$  b comparing chlorophyll *a* and *b*, total chlorophyll, total carotenoids, soluble proteins, hydrogen peroxide, malondialdehyde, and activities of enzymes superoxide dismutase, catalase and ascorbate peroxidase in *E. densa* based on the time and treatments factors, and the interaction of these factors, submitted to cultures with toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis*.

Variables	Factors	Df	F	р
Chlorophyll a	Time	4	8.044	< 0.001
* *	Treatments	2	11.615	< 0.001
	Interaction Time × Treatments	8	1.981	> 0.050
Chlorophyll b	Time	4	2.439	> 0.050
	Treatments	2	21.729	< 0.001
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	5.224	< 0.001
Total chlorophyll	Time	4	5.139	< 0.010
	Treatments	2	19.575	< 0.001
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	2.995	< 0.050
Total carotenoids	Time	4	6.477	< 0.001
	Treatments	2	3.334	< 0.050
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	4.484	< 0.010
Soluble proteins	Time	4	2.046	> 0.050
	Treatments	2	1.445	> 0.050
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	2.04	> 0.050
Hydrogen peroxide	Time	4	4.768	< 0.010
	Treatments	2	5.258	< 0.050
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	3.781	< 0.010
Malondialdehyde	Time	4	33.174	< 0.001
	Treatments	2	21.491	< 0.001
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	5.026	< 0.001
Superoxide dismutase	Time	4	19.393	< 0.001
	Treatments	2	9.324	< 0.001
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	3.827	< 0.010
Catalase	Time	4	12.439	< 0.001
	Treatments	2	3.043	> 0.050
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	9.774	< 0.001
Ascorbate peroxidase	Time	4	13.330	< 0.001
	Treatments	2	53.100	< 0.001
	Interaction Time $\times$ Treatments	8	14.570	< 0.001

supernatant determined spectrophotometrically at 647 and 663 nm for total chlorophyll and chlorophyll a and b. Total carotenoids was determined at 470 nm.

#### 2.5. Hydrogen peroxide $(H_2O_2)$ and lipid peroxidation in E. densa

To verify the quantities of  $H_2O_2$  and malondialdehyde (MDA), E. densa samples were macerated in liquid nitrogen and 0.1% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and 20% polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP) in the proportion of 1 g to 10 mL. Then the extract was centrifuged at  $11,180 \times g$  for 10 min at 4 °C. The levels of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the plants were determined according to the methodology of Alexieva et al. (2001). To this end, 200 µL of the supernatant were mixed in 200 µL of 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) and 800 µL of potassium iodide. This was then incubated for 1 h in the dark in an ice bath followed by 20 min at room temperature and the absorbance reading was at 390 nm. Lipid peroxidation was determined by the amount of MDA in plants according to Heath and Packer (1968). An aliquot of 250 µL of the extract supernatant was added to 1000 µL of 20% TCA and 0.5% tiubarbituric acid (TBA). The samples were then incubated at 95 °C for 30 min and transferred to ice bath for 10 min to stop the reaction. The solution was centrifuged at  $11,180 \times g$  for 10 min and absorbances read at 535 and 600 nm. The results of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and MDA were expressed in  $\mu$ mol gFW<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.6. Enzymatic analysis

The activity of the enzymes SOD (EC 1.15.1.1), CAT (EC 1.11.1.6) and APX (EC 1.11.1.11) was determined based on spectrophotometric readings from the same extract. In which a branch of *E. densa* was macerated in liquid nitrogen and homogenized with 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

(EDTA) and 4% PVPP in the ratio of 1 g to 10 mL. The enzyme extract was centrifuged at 11,180  $\times$  g for 20 min and the supernatant stored at -20 °C for further analysis.

The activity of SOD was verified after the reaction between 50 µL of the enzyme extract and 2950 µL of solution containing 50 mM of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 13 mM methionine, 75 µM tetrazolium nitroblue, 1 mM EDTA, and 5 µM riboflavin. The solution was kept in the light for 5 min for the reaction to occur. The activity was then measured at 560 nm (Giannopolitis and Ries, 1977). The activity of CAT was measured by the reaction between 50  $\mu$ L of the extract and 2950 µL of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 20 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, where the decrease in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations were verified at 240 nm during one minute at 30 °C (Havir and McHale, 1987). APX was determined by ascorbate decay (AsA), demonstrating its degradation. The reaction started after mixing 100 µL of the extract, 2700 µL of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0) containing 0.5 mM AsA and 200 µL of 30 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The decrease in AsA was observed at 290 nm for one minute (Nakano and Asada, 1981). The results of enzyme activities are expressed in katal per mg of protein (nkat or µkat  $mgPT^{-1}$ ), which represents the conversion rate of one mol of substrate per second. The content of plant-soluble proteins was determined by the Bradford (1976) method using bovine albumen serum to calibrate the standard curve.

#### 2.7. Data analysis

A factorial ANOVA a × b was used to verify the statistical differences between biomass values and growth of aquatic macrophytes, in addition to the pigment content, soluble proteins, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, MDA, and activity anti-oxidative enzymes between treatments and sample collection days. Before ANOVA, the data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Bartlett tests, respectively. When significant differences were verified, the analyses were followed by the Tukey test. Software R 3.4.0 was used with significance level of p < 0.05 (R Core Team, 2016).

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Effects of toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis on the growth of E. densa

In long term exposures, macrophytes exposed to the MC+ strain showed an evident inhibition of biomass (fresh weight) (Fig. 1A) and consequently growth (length) at the end of the experiments (Fig. 1B). The biomass reduction of the E. densa branches started after the fourth week of experiment when exposed to the MC+ strain, showing a fresh weight 50% lower than in control plants at the end of the experiments. After four weeks, the branches exposed to MC - also showed a decrease in their fresh weight, demonstrating that competition for resources, like nutrients and light, or other metabolites, like lipopolysaccharides, may have contributed to the reduction of plant growth. The experiment was finished in the fifth week due to a small decrease in fresh weight also in the control treatment, during which time we also observed the growth of periphytic algae on the plants and inside the Erlenmever flasks. Macrophyte biomass differed significantly between treatments (F = 12.319, p < 0.001), with values in MC+ lower than in MC- and control. Regarding the length of the plants, we verified the same pattern in plants exposed to the MC+ strain, which decreased significantly in relation to the control from the fourth week, showing a reduction of 32% at the end of experiment. Throughout the experiment, the MCstrain did not cause significant changes in the growth of the plants, remaining close to the control. During the fifth week, there was a slight reduction in the length of the plants exposed to MC- and in the control, also due to the colonization of the periphyton. The treatments presented differences between them (F = 9.577, p < 0.001), with MC+ causing a greater inhibition in the length of macrophytes.

C.A. Amorim et al.



**Fig. 4.** Analysis of oxidative stress in *E. densa* submitted to cultivation with toxic (MC+) and nontoxic (MC –) strains of *Microcystis* and in the control (CT). A: proteins; B: hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>); C: malondialdehyde (MDA); D: superoxide dismutase (SOD); E: catalase (CAT); F: ascorbate peroxidase (APX). Different letters show significant differences between treatments (Tukey: p < 0.05).

After the third week of experiment, leaf loss was observed in the plants exposed to the MC+ strain, as well as a degradation of the basal and mainly apical regions of the stem. These characteristics contributed to the reduction in growth and fresh weight of the plants evaluated in the following weeks. In addition, the plants had a pale chlorotic appearance, especially in the basal region. For the branches exposed to the MC- strain, these characteristics were less evident, with few morphological and color changes and similar behavior as the control. The toxic strain of *Microcystis* also inhibited the emission of shoots from the lateral meristems and the emergence of roots in all replicates, which may reflect the microcystins' performance on the growth of the tested plants. The MC- strain had the same effect, but with the emission of lateral shoots in one of the replicates. In the control treatment two to four

shoots and three to four roots were emitted (Fig. 2).

# 3.2. Effects of toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis on pigment content of E. densa

The plants exposed to the MC+ and MC- strains presented a reduction in chlorophyll *a* content on 8th day, with values lower than the control, especially in the plants exposed to MC- strain with values 43% lower than the control plants (MC+: p < 0.05; MC-: p < 0.001) (Fig. 3A). Chlorophyll *b* content reduced from the 4th and 8th days for plants exposed to MC- (p < 0.05) and MC+ (p < 0.01) strains, respectively, with significant differences to the control up to the 14th day (Fig. 3B). The reduction in photosynthetic pigments content,

chlorophyll *a* and *b*, also caused a reduction in the total chlorophyll, which when exposed to MC+ (p < 0.01) and MC- (p < 0.001) presented values lower than the control on the 8th day of exposure (Fig. 3C). The plants showed a higher production of carotenoids when exposed to MC+ only on the 8th day (p < 0.001), whereas the MC-strain caused an increase in carotenoid content in plants only on the 2nd day (p < 0.01) (Fig. 3D). Chlorophyll *a*, total chlorophyll and carotenoids showed significant differences among the treatments and the sampling days, whereas chlorophyll *b* differed only in response to the treatments (Table 1).

#### 3.3. Evaluation of oxidative stress in E. densa cultivated with toxic and nontoxic strains of Microcystis

Plant exposure to the toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis* did not cause significant changes to the amounts of proteins in plant tissues (p > 0.05) (Fig. 4A, Table 1). There was a reduction in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production in plants exposed to MC+, whereas in the control there was an increase on the second day followed by a decrease. The amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> only differed significantly in the plants exposed to MC+ on the 2nd day (p < 0.05) and MC- on the 4th day (p < 0.05), with lower values in relation to the control (Fig. 4B, Table 1). Lipid peroxidation was a strong indicator of oxidative stress in the tested plants, where the levels of MDA in the branches exposed to the MC+ strain were significantly higher in relation to MC- on the 2nd (p < 0.05) and 14th (p < 0.001)days and the control on the 4th (p < 0.01) and 14th (p < 0.001) days. On the 2nd and 8th days, the MDA values in the plants exposed to the MC+ strain decreased with respect to the beginning of the experiment (Fig. 4C, Table 1).

The response of the SOD, CAT and APX enzyme activity of the plants exposed to the MCs producing strain was treatment and time dependent, with a significant increase in the activity of these enzymes followed by a decrease. Plants exposed to MC+ increased SOD activity by 1.46 times on the 2nd day in relation to the beginning and 2.93 times in relation to the control (p < 0.05), followed by a decrease, however, the values continued higher than in the control until the 8th day (p < 0.05), reducing to values similar to the control on the 14th day (p > 0.05). While the plants exposed to the MC- strain did not differ in relation to the control (p > 0.05), decreasing over the days (Fig. 4D, Table 1). CAT activity of the plants exposed to MC+ showed a significant increase on the second day (1.96 times in relation to the beginning of the experiment) with values 41% higher than the control (p < 0.05), followed by a decrease on the following days. For MC- the plants responded with an increase in CAT activity up to the 8th day. Plants exposed to MC+ and MC- showed a reduction in CAT activity on the 14th day, with values lower than the control (Fig. 4E, Table 1). The APX enzyme showed a significant increase in its activity on the 2nd day (2.19 times), reaching 3.34 times on the 8th day of culture in relation to the beginning of the experiment and returning to values close to the control on the 14th day (p > 0.05). APX was significantly higher in plants treated with MC+ than in MC- (p < 0.001) and control plants (p < 0.001) until the 8th day. Plants submitted to MC- increased APX activity followed by a decrease on 8th and 14th days, and differ from the control only on the 2nd day (p < 0.01) (Fig. 4F, Table 1).

#### 4. Discussion

The *E. densa* was significantly affected when coexisting with the toxic strain, presenting a reduction in biomass and consequently in growth. Also, the exposure to the toxic strain caused oxidative stress in plants due to the amount of MDA in plant tissues, which is an expressive indicator of lipid peroxidation and causes degradation of the membranes. However, the plants present enzymatic defense mechanisms capable of reducing the effects caused by the MC-producing strain, with the APX enzyme being the one that responded better to exposure to the MC+ strain.

For aquatic macrophytes, the main cause of growth inhibition is cyanotoxins, such as anatoxin-a (Ha and Pflugmacher, 2013), cylindrospermopsin (Flores-Rojas et al., 2015) and microcystins (Jiang et al., 2011). Since MCs are potent inhibitors of protein phosphatases 1 and 2A, important for various biochemical and physiological processes (MacKintosh et al., 1990), these toxins also negatively affect plant growth. Inhibition of protein phosphatases may trigger several problems for the plant, affecting mitosis, development of apical and floral meristems, and root growth and development (Smith and Walker, 1996; Luan, 1998). This agrees with the results observed herein, in which a possible inhibition of the protein phosphatases may have reduced the growth of the plants exposed to the MC+ strain, as well as inhibited apical, lateral and root meristems growth. Furthermore, the possible presence of other harmful compounds, like lipopolysaccharides, may have contributed for the biomass reduction in plants exposed to both strains.

Morphological changes in *E. densa* were only observed in the fourth week of exposure to the MC+ strain, which may be due to damages in the antioxidative system or biotransformation mechanisms of toxic compounds present in MC+ strain, such as the MCs. At the beginning of the experiments, both strains were at the end of exponential growth phase, which means that the *Microcystis* cells was in senescent stage with an increased releasing of MCs in the toxic strain. In addition, cyanobacteria may have released a greater amount of cyanotoxins in response to allelochemicals of *E. densa*, causing cellular lysis and consequent release of cyanotoxins, provoking such changes, as well as biomass and branch length reduction.

The plants exposed to MC+ and MC- strains presented a degradation of the photosynthetic pigments in short exposure, which was evidenced by the chlorosis of the branches in MC+ in long-term exposure, which was not observed in the plants exposed to the MC- strain and in the control. Similarly, many studies demonstrated the effects of MCs on photosynthetic parameters and alteration of pigments in algae (García-Espín et al., 2017), agricultural plants (Machado et al., 2017), and aquatic macrophytes (Rojo et al., 2013). MCs stimulate the production of ROS and consequently MDA in aquatic macrophytes, with several biochemical and physiological effects, such as changes in photosynthetic pigment content and oxidative stress (Corbel et al., 2014). However, the plants responded with a small increase of carotenoids content on the 8th day in plants exposed to MC+ strain. Carotenoids can act as powerful non-enzymatic anti-oxidative defense (Gill and Tuteja, 2010). Plants exposed to MC- strain also showed a reduction in total chlorophyll, chlorophyll *a* and *b* content, which may be due to the light limitation occasioned by the growth of cyanobacteria or the actuation of other toxic compounds, like lipopolysaccharides.

In the case of oxidative stress, the high amount of ROS triggers a series of reactions that cause lipid peroxidation, mainly forming MDA (Esterbauer et al., 1991). During lipid peroxidation phospholipids, the main component of the cell membranes, are degraded and fragmented, where reactive aldehydes, such as MDA, are released, causing damage to cellular components, DNA, and proteins (Mueller, 2004). The presence of  $H_2O_2$  in plants can also play a beneficial role, acting on cell proliferation, stress acclimatization, defense against pathogens, signal transduction, and regulation of metabolism (Mittler, 2017). Therefore, the balance between benefits and losses of  $H_2O_2$  is determined by the concentration of this ROS in the organelles and the activity of the antioxidative enzymes (Shigeoka et al., 2002). Plants exposed to MC + and MC- strains showed a decrease in MDA and  $H_2O_2$  content on the 2nd day, possibly due to the short acclimatization time prior to the experiments.

ROS are naturally produced, but under unfavorable conditions such as water scarcity, high temperatures, or the presence of pollutants, such as cyanotoxins, the accumulation of these metabolites is intensified (Mittler, 2002). Another way of producing ROS is through the biotransformation reactions of xenobiotics in plants through the glutathione cycle (Polle, 2001), where with the activity of the glutathione S-transferase and glutathione reductase enzymes the production of  $H_2O_2$  in plants exposed to MCs is increased (Pflugmacher, 2004). ROS is highly toxic to plant tissues and organelles, causing damage to proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, and DNA, and can lead to cell death when at high levels (Bernstein et al., 2010). However, enzymatic and non-enzymatic anti-oxidative mechanisms can eliminate or convert ROS to less toxic components (Chen et al., 2004).

Under oxidative stress, the first enzyme that acts to control ROS is the SOD, which convert  $O_2 \cdot \overline{}$  to  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2$  (Mittler, 2002). Then the  $H_2O_2$  released by SOD reactions is catalyzed and removed by CAT and APX enzymes (Asada, 1992). The maintenance of adequate levels of ROS in cells depends on the balance between the activity of the SOD, CAT and APX enzymes and changes or damages to certain enzymes are compensated by a greater activity of the others. Thus, when CAT activity is reduced, APX activity is potentiated (Apel and Hirt, 2004). This fact justifies the high APX activity in plants exposed to the MC+ strain, when CAT reduced its activity, contributing to the maintenance of  $H_2O_2$ levels close to those of the control. In addition, APX has a higher affinity for  $H_2O_2$  than CAT, as it is the main enzyme that acts on oxidative stress control (Gill and Tuteja, 2010), further contributing to the maintenance of tolerable levels of  $H_2O_2$  in plants exposed to MC+.

The APX enzyme has been shown to be more efficient in the control of oxidative stress caused by MC+ strain, while for the MC- strain there was an increase in the values of CAT activity, demonstrating that other compounds, such as lipopolysaccharides, or competition for light and nutrients may have caused oxidative stress in plants. The enzymatic activity of SOD, CAT and APX enzymes remained close to the control in plants exposed to the MC+ and MC- *Microcystis* strains on the 14th day of exposure, possibly in response to protein damage. This result was also verified in *E. densa* exposed to a crude extract from a cyanobacterial bloom containing three MC congeners, where the enzymatic activity was kept lower than the control after 14 days of exposure (Romero-Oliva et al., 2015a). The presence of cyanotoxins, like  $\beta$ -N-methylamino-L-alanine, also may reduce the enzymatic anti-oxidant activity by the inhibition of antioxidant enzymes (Esterhuizen-Londt et al., 2011).

#### 5. Conclusions

The anti-oxidative mechanisms of *E. densa* exposed to the toxic and non-toxic strains of *Microcystis* were efficient in controlling the oxidative stress of the plants during a period of three weeks. After this, intense modifications were observed in the plants cultivated with the toxic strain. These characteristics suggest that prolonged exposure to MCs can cause serious damage to aquatic plants, damaging the pigment content and anti-oxidative system of *E. densa*. This macrophyte was less affected morphometrically or physiologically when grown with non-MC-producing strain of *Microcystis*. This work demonstrates for the first time the effects of exposure of aquatic macrophytes to toxic and nontoxic strains in coexistence, important for understanding how these plants relate to different strains of cyanobacteria in natural ecosystems.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Brazilian National Council of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq) (Process 471603/2012-0, 302068/2011-2 and 304237/2015-9) and Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES). We also thank to two anonymous reviewers for their valuable contributions to our manuscript.

#### References

Alexieva, V., Sergiev, I., Mapelli, S., Karanov, E., 2001. The effect of drought and ultraviolet radiation on growth and stress markers in pea and wheat. Plant, Cell Environ. 24, 1337–1344. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2001.00778.x.

- Apel, K., Hirt, H., 2004. Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 55, 373–399. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.arplant.55.031903.141701.
- Asada, K., 1992. Ascorbate peroxidase—a hydrogen peroxide-scavenging enzyme in plants. Physiol. Plant. 85, 235–241. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1992. tb04728.x.
- Babica, P., Blaha, L., Marsalek, B., 2006. Exploring the natural role of microcystins-a review of effects on photoautotrophic organisms. J. Phycol. 42, 9–20. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2006.00176.x.
- Bernstein, N., Shoresh, M., Xu, Y., Huang, B., 2010. Involvement of the plant antioxidative response in the differential growth sensitivity to salinity of leaves vs roots during cell development. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 49, 1161–1171. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2010.06.032.
- Bittencourt-Oliveira, M.C., Cordeiro-Araújo, M.K., Chia, M.A., Arruda-Neto, J.D.T., Oliveira, Ê.T., Santos, F., 2016. Lettuce irrigated with contaminated water: photosynthetic effects, antioxidative response and bioaccumulation of microcystin congeners. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 128, 83–90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv. 2016.02.014.
- Bittencourt-Oliveira, M.D.C., 2003. Detection of potential microcystin-producing cyanobacteria in Brazilian reservoirs with a mcyB molecular marker. Harmful Algae 2, 51–60. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1568-9883(03)00004-0.
- Boaru, D.A., Dragoş, N., Schirmer, K., 2006. Microcystin-LR induced cellular effects in mammalian and fish primary hepatocyte cultures and cell lines: a comparative study. Toxicology 218, 134–148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2005.10.005.
- Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72, 248–254. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3.
- Carmichael, W.W., Boyer, G.L., 2016. Health impacts from cyanobacteria harmful algae blooms: implications for the North American Great Lakes. Harmful Algae 54, 194–212. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.hal.2016.02.002.
- Carmichael, W.W., Azevedo, S.M.F.O., An, J.S., Molica, R.J.R., Jochimsen, E.M., Lau, S., Rinehart, K.L., Shaw, G.R., Eaglesham, G.K., 2001. Human fatalities form cyanobacteria: chemical and biological evidence for cyanotoxins. Environ. Health Perspect. 109, 663–668. http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109663.
- Chen, J., Song, L., Dai, J., Gan, N., Liu, Z., 2004. Effects of microcystins on the growth and the activity of superoxide dismutase and peroxidase of rape (*Brassica napus* L.) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Toxicon 43, 393–400. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon. 2004.01.011.
- Cook, C.D.K., Urmi-König, K., 1984. A revision of the genus Egeria (hydrocharitaceae). Aquat. Bot. 19, 73–96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(84)90009-3.
- Corbel, S., Mougin, C., Bouaïcha, N., 2014. Cyanobacterial toxins: modes of actions, fate in aquatic and soil ecosystems, phytotoxicity and bioaccumulation in agricultural crops. Chemosphere 96, 1–15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.07. 056
- Dawson, R.M., 1998. The toxicology of microcystins. Toxicon 36, 953–962. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S0041-0101(97)00102-5.
- Dixon, D.P., Skipsey, M., Edwards, R., 2010. Roles for glutathione transferases in plant secondary metabolism. Phytochemistry 71, 338–350. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. phytochem.2009.12.012.
- Eigemann, F., Hilt, S., Schmitt-Jansen, M., 2013. Flow cytometry as a diagnostic tool for the effects of polyphenolic allelochemicals on phytoplankton. Aquat. Bot. 104, 5–14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2012.10.005.
- Esterbauer, H., Schaur, R.J., Zollner, H., 1991. Chemistry and biochemistry of 4-hydroxynonenal, malonaldehyde and related aldehydes. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 11, 81–128. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849(91)90192-6.
- Esterhuizen-Londt, M., Pflugmacher, S., Downing, T.G., 2011. The effect of (-N-methylamino-L-alanine (BMAA) on oxidative stress response enzymes of the macrophyte *Ceratophyllum demersum*. Toxicon 57, 803–810. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon. 2011.02.015.
- Fastner, J., Flieger, I., Neumann, U., 1998. Optimised extraction of microcystins from field samples—a comparison of different solvents and procedures. Water Res. 32, 3177–3181. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(98)00073-6.
- Flores-Rojas, N.C., Esterhuizen-Londt, M., Pflugmacher, S., 2015. Antioxidative stress responses in the floating macrophyte *Lemna minor* L. with cylindrospermopsin exposure. Aquat. Toxicol. 169, 188–195. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2015. 11.002.
- García-Espín, L., Cantoral, E.A., Asencio, A.D., Aboal, M., 2017. Microcystins and cyanophyte extracts inhibit or promote the photosynthesis of fluvial algae. Ecological and management implications. Ecotoxicology 26, 658–666. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1007/s10646-017-1798-z.
- Giannopolitis, C.N., Ries, S.K., 1977. Superoxide dismutases: II. Purification and quantitative relationship with water-soluble protein in seedlings. Plant Physiol. 59, 315–318. http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.59.2.315.
- Gill, S.S., Tuteja, N., 2010. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 48, 909–930. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.08.016.
- Gorham, P.R., Mclachlan, J., Hammer, U.T., Kim, W.K., 1964. Isolation and culture of toxic strains of Anabaena flos-aquae (Lyngb.) de Bréb. Verhandlungen des Internationalen Verein Limnologie 15, 796–804.
- Granéli, E., Weberg, M., Salomon, P.S., 2008. Harmful algal blooms of allelopathic microalgal species: the role of eutrophication. Harmful Algae 8, 94–102. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.hal.2008.08.011.
- Ha, M.H., Pflugmacher, S., 2013. Time-dependent alterations in growth, photosynthetic pigments and enzymatic defense systems of submerged *Ceratophyllum demersum* during exposure to the cyanobacterial neurotoxin anatoxin-a. Aquat. Toxicol. 138–139, 26–34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.04.007.

- Harke, M.J., Steffen, M.M., Gobler, C.J., Otten, T.G., Wilhelm, S.W., Wood, S.A., Paerl, H.W., 2016. A review of the global ecology, genomics, and biogeography of the toxic cyanobacterium, *Microcystis* spp. Harmful Algae 54, 4–20. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.hal.2015.12.007.
- Havir, E.A., McHale, N.A., 1987. Biochemical and developmental characterization of multiple forms of catalase in tobacco leaves. Plant Physiol. 84, 450–455. http://dx. doi.org/10.1104/pp.84.2.450.
- Heath, R.L., Packer, L., 1968. Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts. I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 125, 189–198. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(68)90654-1.
- Hilt, S., Gross, E.M., 2008. Can allelopathically active submerged macrophytes stabilise clear-water states in shallow lakes? Basic Appl. Ecol. 9, 422–432. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.baae.2007.04.003.
- Jeppesen, E., Jensen, J.P., Søndergaard, M., Lauridsen, T., Pedersen, L.J., Jensen, L., 1997. Top-down control in freshwater lakes: the role of nutrient state, submerged macrophytes and water depth. Hydrobiologia 342, 151–164. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1023/a:1017046130329.
- Jiang, J., Gu, X., Song, R., Wang, X., Yang, L., 2011. Microcystin-LR induced oxidative stress and ultrastructural alterations in mesophyll cells of submerged macrophyte *Vallisneria natans* (Lour.) Hara. J. Hazard. Mater. 190, 188–196. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.03.023.
- Lürling, M., Van Oosterhout, F., Faassen, E., 2017. Eutrophication and warming boost cyanobacterial biomass and microcystins. Toxins 9, 64. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ toxins9020064.
- Lawton, L.A., Edwards, C., Codd, G.A., 1994. Extraction and high-performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of microcystins in raw and treated waters. Analyst 119, 1525–1530.
- Liang, Y., Ouyang, K., Chen, X., Su, Y., Yang, J., 2017. Life strategy and grazing intensity responses of *Brachionus calyciflorus* fed on different concentrations of microcystinproducing and microcystin-free Microcystis aeruginosa. Sci. Rep. 7, 43127. http://dx. doi.org/10.1038/srep43127.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K., 1987. Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. Methods Enzymol. 148, 350–382. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879(87)48036-1.
- Luan, S., 1998. Protein phosphatases and signaling cascades in higher plants. Trends Plant Sci. 3, 271–275. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(98)01258-8.
- MacKintosh, C., Beattie, K.A., Klumpp, S., Cohen, P., Codd, G.A., 1990. Cyanobacterial microcystin-LR is a potent and specific inhibitor of protein phosphatases 1 and 2A from both mammals and higher plants. FEBS Lett. 264, 187–192. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0014-5793(90)80245-E.
- Machado, J., Campos, A., Vasconcelos, V., Freitas, M., 2017. Effects of microcystin-LR and cylindrospermopsin on plant-soil systems: a review of their relevance for agricultural plant quality and public health. Environ. Res. 153, 191–204. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.envres.2016.09.015.
- Mariani, M.A., Padedda, B.M., Kaštovský, J., Buscarinu, P., Sechi, N., Virdis, T., Lugliè, A., 2015. Effects of trophic status on microcystin production and the dominance of cyanobacteria in the phytoplankton assemblage of Mediterranean reservoirs. Sci. Rep. 5, 17964. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep17964.
- Martins, J.C., Vasconcelos, V.M., 2009. Microcystin dynamics in aquatic organisms. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health B 12, 65–82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/ 10937400802545151.
- Mittler, R., Vanderauwera, S., Gollery, M., Van Breusegem, F., 2004. Reactive oxygen gene network of plants. Trends Plant Sci. 9, 490–498. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. tplants.2004.08.009.
- Mittler, R., 2002. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci. 9, 405–410. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02312-9.
- Mittler, R., 2017. ROS are good. Trends Plant Sci. 22, 11–19. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.tplants.2016.08.002.
- Mueller, M.J., 2004. Archetype signals in plants: the phytoprostanes. Curr. Opin. Plant

Biol. 7, 441–448. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2004.04.001.

- Nakano, Y., Asada, K., 1981. Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. Plant Cell Physiol. 22, 867–880. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a076232.
- Paerl, H.W., Huisman, J., 2009. Climate change: a catalyst for global expansion of harmful cyanobacterial blooms. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 1, 27–37. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2008.00004.x.
- Paerl, H.W., Otten, T.G., 2013. Harmful cyanobacterial blooms: causes, consequences, and controls. Microb. Ecol. 65, 995–1010. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-012-0159-y.
- Paerl, H.W., Gardner, W.S., Havens, K.E., Joyner, A.R., McCarthy, M.J., Newell, S.E., Qin, B., Scott, J.T., 2016. Mitigating cyanobacterial harmful algal blooms in aquatic ecosystems impacted by climate change and anthropogenic nutrients. Harmful Algae 54, 213–222. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2015.09.009.
- Pflugmacher, S., 2004. Promotion of oxidative stress in the aquatic macrophyte *Ceratophyllum demersum* during biotransformation of the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR. Aquat. Toxicol. 70, 169–178. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox. 2004.06.010.
- Polle, A., 2001. Dissecting the superoxide dismutase-ascorbate-glutathione-pathway in chloroplasts by metabolic modeling. Computer simulations as a step towards flux analysis. Plant Physiol. 126, 445–462. http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.126.1.445.
- R Core Team, 2016. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. https://www.R-project.org/.
- Rojo, C., Segura, M., Cortés, F., Rodrigo, M.A., 2013. Allelopathic effects of microcystin-LR on the germination, growth and metabolism of five charophyte species and a submerged angiosperm. Aquat. Toxicol. 144–145. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. aquatox.2013.09.013.
- Romero-Oliva, C.S., Contardo-Jara, V., Pflugmacher, S., 2015a. Antioxidative response of the three macrophytes Ceratophyllum demersum, Egeria densa, and Hydrilla verticillata to a time dependent exposure of cell-free crude extracts containing three microcystins from cyanobacterial blooms of Lake Amatilan, Guatemala. Aquat. Toxicol. 163, 130–139. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.04.001.
- Romero-Oliva, C.S., Contardo-Jara, V., Pflugmacher, S., 2015b. Time dependent uptake, bioaccumulation and biotransformation of cell free crude extract microcystins from Lake Amatitlán, Guatemala by Ceratophyllum demersum, Egeria densa and Hydrilla verticillata. Toxicon 105, 62–73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2015.08.017.
- Saqrane, S., Ghazali, I., El Ouahid, Y., Hassni, M., El Hadrami, I., El Bouarab, L., del Campo, F.F., Oudra, B., Vasconcelos, V., 2007. Phytotoxic effects of cyanobacteria extract on the aquatic plant Lemna gibba: microcystin accumulation, detoxication and oxidative stress induction. Aquat. Toxicol. 83, 284–294. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.aquatox.2007.05.004.
- Scheffer, M., Hosper, S.H., Meijer, M.-L., Moss, B., Jeppesen, E., 1993. Alternative equilibria in shallow lakes. Trends Ecol. Evol. 8, 275–279. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ 0169-5347(93)90254-M.
- Shigeoka, S., Ishikawa, T., Tamoi, M., Miyagawa, Y., Takeda, T., Yabuta, Y., Yoshimura, K., 2002. Regulation and function of ascorbate peroxidase isoenzymes. J. Exp. Bot. 53, 1305–1319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/53.372.1305.
- Smith, R.D., Walker, J.C., 1996. Plant protein phosphatases. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 47, 101–125. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.47.1.101.
- Spoof, L., Catherine, A., 2017. Tables of microcystins and nodularins. In: Meriluoto, J., Spoof, L., Codd, G.A. (Eds.), Handbook of Cyanobacterial Monitoring and Cyanotoxin Analysis. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 526–537.
  Svirčev, Z., Drobac, D., Tokodi, N., Mijović, B., Codd, G.A., Meriluoto, J., 2017.
- Svirčev, Z., Drobac, D., Tokodi, N., Mijović, B., Codd, G.A., Meriluoto, J., 2017. Toxicology of microcystins with reference to cases of human intoxications and epidemiological investigations of exposures to cyanobacteria and cyanotoxins. Arch. Toxicol. 91, 621–650. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00204-016-1921-6.
- Žegura, B., Sedmak, B., Filipič, M., 2003. Microcystin-LR induces oxidative DNA damage in human hepatoma cell line HepG2. Toxicon 41, 41–48. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ s0041-0101(02)00207-6.

# **5 CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS**

Neste estudo, nós demonstramos pela primeira vez os efeitos alelopáticos mútuos da coexistência entre *Egeria densa* e linhagens tóxica e não tóxica de cianobactérias do gênero *Microcystis*. Os resultados apresentados são úteis para o entendimento da teoria de estados alternativos, a qual prediz que os ambientes aquáticos rasos possuem dois estados de dominância de macrófitas ou do fitoplâncton. Como observado no presente trabalho, as relações alelopáticas mútuas existentes entre macrófitas submersas e cianobactérias pode influenciar na dominância destes organismos, através da liberação de substâncias que inibem o crescimento de seus competidores.

A macrófita submersa *Egeria densa* é capaz de inibir significativamente o crescimento de linhagens produtoras de microcistinas, *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Por outro lado, linhagens não produtoras são estimuladas quando em coexistência com esta macrófita. Tais resultados sugerem que *Egeria densa* libera seus aleloquímicos no ambiente somente em situações de estresse, como o ocasionado pelas microcistinas nos experimentos de coexistência com a cepa tóxica. Por outro lado, *Egeria densa* apresentou significativas alterações fisiológicas e morfológicas ocasionadas pelo cultivo com a cepa de *Microcystis* produtora de microcistinas. A planta apresentou uma redução do crescimento (biomassa e comprimento dos ramos), acompanhada de alterações morfométricas, tais como alteração na coloração dos ramos, bem como inibição da emissão de brotos, raízes e degradação da região apical das plantas. A cepa não produtora de microcistinas não causou alterações severas nas plantas testadas.

Estes resultados, apesar de serem uma abordagem experimental em laboratório, trazem importantes indicações de como podem ocorrer as interações alelopáticas entre macrófitas aquáticas e cianobactérias nos ecossistemas de água doce, uma vez que os experimentos de coexistência são os que melhor refletem as condições ambientais. No entanto mais estudos precisam ser feitos especialmente em regiões tropicais, por serem as regiões mais afetadas pelas florações de cianobactérias, que muitas vezes são perenes e com a presença de várias espécies de cianobactérias. Além disso, a presença e proporção de linhagens tóxicas e não tóxicas deve ser levada em consideração em estratégias de biomanipulação com o uso de macrófitas aquáticas submersas.

# ANEXO

# ELSEVIER LICENSE TERMS AND CONDITIONS

This Agreement between Mr. Cihelio Amorim ("You") and Elsevier ("Elsevier") consists of your license details and the terms and conditions provided by Elsevier and Copyright Clearance Center.

License Number	4178840404905
License date	Aug 30, 2017
Licensed Content Publisher	Elsevier
Licensed Content Publication	Aquatic Toxicology
Licensed Content Title	Biometric and physiological responses of Egeria densa Planch. cultivated with toxic and non-toxic strains of Microcystis
Licensed Content Author	Cihelio A. Amorim, Cláudia Ulisses, Ariadne Nascimento Moura
Licensed Content Date	Oct 1, 2017
Licensed Content Volume	191
Licensed Content Issue	n/a
Licensed Content Pages	8
Start Page	201
End Page	208
Type of Use	reuse in a thesis/dissertation
Portion	full article
Format	both print and electronic
Are you the author of this Elsevier article?	Yes
Will you be translating?	No
Order reference number	AQTOX_4728
Title of your thesis/dissertation	EFEITOS ALELOPÁTICOS MÚTUOS ENTRE A MACRÓFITA AQUÁTICA Egeria densa PLANCH. E A CIANOBACTÉRIA FORMADORA DE FLORAÇÕES Microcystis
Expected completion date	Dec 2017
Estimated size (number of pages)	89
Requestor Location	Mr. Cihelio Amorim Rua Manoel de Medeiros, s/n, Dois Irmãos Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco Programa de Pós-Graduação em Botânica Recife, Pernambuco 52.171.900 Brazil Attn: Mr. Cihelio Amorim
Total	0.00 USD

Terms and Conditions

# **INTRODUCTION**

1. The publisher for this copyrighted material is Elsevier. By clicking "accept" in connection with completing this licensing transaction, you agree that the following terms and conditions apply to this transaction (along with the Billing and Payment terms and conditions established by Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. ("CCC"), at the time that you opened your Rightslink account and that are available at any time at <a href="http://myaccount.copyright.com">http://myaccount.copyright.com</a>).

# **GENERAL TERMS**

2. Elsevier hereby grants you permission to reproduce the aforementioned material subject to the terms and conditions indicated.

3. Acknowledgement: If any part of the material to be used (for example, figures) has appeared in our publication with credit or acknowledgement to another source, permission must also be sought from that source. If such permission is not obtained then that material may not be included in your publication/copies. Suitable acknowledgement to the source must be made, either as a footnote or in a reference list at the end of your publication, as follows:

"Reprinted from Publication title, Vol /edition number, Author(s), Title of article / title of chapter, Pages No., Copyright (Year), with permission from Elsevier [OR APPLICABLE SOCIETY COPYRIGHT OWNER]." Also Lancet special credit - "Reprinted from The Lancet, Vol. number, Author(s), Title of article, Pages No., Copyright (Year), with permission from Elsevier."

4. Reproduction of this material is confined to the purpose and/or media for which permission is hereby given.

5. Altering/Modifying Material: Not Permitted. However figures and illustrations may be altered/adapted minimally to serve your work. Any other abbreviations, additions, deletions and/or any other alterations shall be made only with prior written authorization of Elsevier Ltd. (Please contact Elsevier at permissions@elsevier.com). No modifications can be made to any Lancet figures/tables and they must be reproduced in full.

6. If the permission fee for the requested use of our material is waived in this instance, please be advised that your future requests for Elsevier materials may attract a fee.

7. Reservation of Rights: Publisher reserves all rights not specifically granted in the combination of (i) the license details provided by you and accepted in the course of this licensing transaction, (ii) these terms and conditions and (iii) CCC's Billing and Payment terms and conditions.

8. License Contingent Upon Payment: While you may exercise the rights licensed immediately upon issuance of the license at the end of the licensing process for the transaction, provided that you have disclosed complete and accurate details of your proposed use, no license is finally effective unless and until full payment is received from you (either by publisher or by CCC) as provided in CCC's Billing and Payment terms and conditions. If full payment is not received on a timely basis, then any license preliminarily granted shall be deemed automatically revoked and shall be void as if never granted. Further, in the event that you breach any of these terms and conditions or any of CCC's Billing and Payment terms and conditions, the license is automatically revoked and shall be void as if never granted. Use of materials as described in a revoked license, as well as any use of the materials beyond the scope of an unrevoked license, may constitute copyright infringement and publisher reserves the right to take any and all action to protect its copyright in the materials.

9. Warranties: Publisher makes no representations or warranties with respect to the licensed material.

10. Indemnity: You hereby indemnify and agree to hold harmless publisher and CCC, and their respective officers, directors, employees and agents, from and against any and all claims arising out of your use of the licensed material other than as specifically authorized pursuant to this license.

11. No Transfer of License: This license is personal to you and may not be sublicensed, assigned, or transferred by you to any other person without publisher's written permission.
12. No Amendment Except in Writing: This license may not be amended except in a writing signed by both parties (or, in the case of publisher, by CCC on publisher's behalf).
13. Objection to Contrary Terms: Publisher hereby objects to any terms contained in any purchase order, acknowledgment, check endorsement or other writing prepared by you, which terms are inconsistent with these terms and conditions or CCC's Billing and Payment terms and conditions. These terms and conditions, together with CCC's Billing and Payment terms and conditions (which are incorporated herein), comprise the entire agreement between you and publisher (and CCC) concerning this licensing transaction. In the event of any conflict between your obligations established by these terms and conditions and those

https://s100.copyright.com/AppDispatchServlet

14. Revocation: Elsevier or Copyright Clearance Center may deny the permissions described in this License at their sole discretion, for any reason or no reason, with a full refund payable to you. Notice of such denial will be made using the contact information provided by you. Failure to receive such notice will not alter or invalidate the denial. In no event will Elsevier or Copyright Clearance Center be responsible or liable for any costs, expenses or damage incurred by you as a result of a denial of your permission request, other than a refund of the amount(s) paid by you to Elsevier and/or Copyright Clearance Center for denied permissions.

# LIMITED LICENSE

The following terms and conditions apply only to specific license types:

15. **Translation**: This permission is granted for non-exclusive world **English** rights only unless your license was granted for translation rights. If you licensed translation rights you may only translate this content into the languages you requested. A professional translator must perform all translations and reproduce the content word for word preserving the integrity of the article.

16. **Posting licensed content on any Website**: The following terms and conditions apply as follows: Licensing material from an Elsevier journal: All content posted to the web site must maintain the copyright information line on the bottom of each image; A hyper-text must be included to the Homepage of the journal from which you are licensing at

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/xxxxx or the Elsevier homepage for books at http://www.elsevier.com; Central Storage: This license does not include permission for a scanned version of the material to be stored in a central repository such as that provided by Heron/XanEdu.

Licensing material from an Elsevier book: A hyper-text link must be included to the Elsevier homepage at <u>http://www.elsevier.com</u>. All content posted to the web site must maintain the copyright information line on the bottom of each image.

**Posting licensed content on Electronic reserve**: In addition to the above the following clauses are applicable: The web site must be password-protected and made available only to bona fide students registered on a relevant course. This permission is granted for 1 year only. You may obtain a new license for future website posting.

17. For journal authors: the following clauses are applicable in addition to the above: **Preprints:** 

A preprint is an author's own write-up of research results and analysis, it has not been peerreviewed, nor has it had any other value added to it by a publisher (such as formatting, copyright, technical enhancement etc.).

Authors can share their preprints anywhere at any time. Preprints should not be added to or enhanced in any way in order to appear more like, or to substitute for, the final versions of articles however authors can update their preprints on arXiv or RePEc with their Accepted Author Manuscript (see below).

If accepted for publication, we encourage authors to link from the preprint to their formal publication via its DOI. Millions of researchers have access to the formal publications on ScienceDirect, and so links will help users to find, access, cite and use the best available version. Please note that Cell Press, The Lancet and some society-owned have different preprint policies. Information on these policies is available on the journal homepage.

Accepted Author Manuscripts: An accepted author manuscript is the manuscript of an article that has been accepted for publication and which typically includes author-incorporated changes suggested during submission, peer review and editor-author communications.

Authors can share their accepted author manuscript:

- immediately
  - via their non-commercial person homepage or blog
  - by updating a preprint in arXiv or RePEc with the accepted manuscript
  - via their research institute or institutional repository for internal institutional uses or as part of an invitation-only research collaboration work-group

- directly by providing copies to their students or to research collaborators for their personal use
- for private scholarly sharing as part of an invitation-only work group on commercial sites with which Elsevier has an agreement
- After the embargo period
  - via non-commercial hosting platforms such as their institutional repository
  - via commercial sites with which Elsevier has an agreement

In all cases accepted manuscripts should:

- link to the formal publication via its DOI
- bear a CC-BY-NC-ND license this is easy to do
- if aggregated with other manuscripts, for example in a repository or other site, be shared in alignment with our hosting policy not be added to or enhanced in any way to appear more like, or to substitute for, the published journal article.

**Published journal article (JPA):** A published journal article (PJA) is the definitive final record of published research that appears or will appear in the journal and embodies all value-adding publishing activities including peer review co-ordination, copy-editing, formatting, (if relevant) pagination and online enrichment.

Policies for sharing publishing journal articles differ for subscription and gold open access articles:

<u>Subscription Articles:</u> If you are an author, please share a link to your article rather than the full-text. Millions of researchers have access to the formal publications on ScienceDirect, and so links will help your users to find, access, cite, and use the best available version. Theses and dissertations which contain embedded PJAs as part of the formal submission can be posted publicly by the awarding institution with DOI links back to the formal publications on ScienceDirect.

If you are affiliated with a library that subscribes to ScienceDirect you have additional private sharing rights for others' research accessed under that agreement. This includes use for classroom teaching and internal training at the institution (including use in course packs and courseware programs), and inclusion of the article for grant funding purposes.

<u>Gold Open Access Articles:</u> May be shared according to the author-selected end-user license and should contain a <u>CrossMark logo</u>, the end user license, and a DOI link to the formal publication on ScienceDirect.

Please refer to Elsevier's posting policy for further information.

18. For book authors the following clauses are applicable in addition to the above: Authors are permitted to place a brief summary of their work online only. You are not allowed to download and post the published electronic version of your chapter, nor may you scan the printed edition to create an electronic version. **Posting to a repository:** Authors are permitted to post a summary of their chapter only in their institution's repository.

19. **Thesis/Dissertation**: If your license is for use in a thesis/dissertation your thesis may be submitted to your institution in either print or electronic form. Should your thesis be published commercially, please reapply for permission. These requirements include permission for the Library and Archives of Canada to supply single copies, on demand, of the complete thesis and include permission for Proquest/UMI to supply single copies, on demand, of the complete thesis. Should your thesis be published commercially, please reapply for permission which contain embedded PJAs as part of the formal submission can be posted publicly by the awarding institution with DOI links back to the formal publications on ScienceDirect.

# **Elsevier Open Access Terms and Conditions**

You can publish open access with Elsevier in hundreds of open access journals or in nearly 2000 established subscription journals that support open access publishing. Permitted third party re-use of these open access articles is defined by the author's choice of Creative Commons user license. See our open access license policy for more information. Terms & Conditions applicable to all Open Access articles published with Elsevier: Any reuse of the article must not represent the author as endorsing the adaptation of the article nor should the article be modified in such a way as to damage the author's honour or reputation. If any changes have been made, such changes must be clearly indicated. The author(s) must be appropriately credited and we ask that you include the end user license and a DOI link to the formal publication on ScienceDirect.

If any part of the material to be used (for example, figures) has appeared in our publication with credit or acknowledgement to another source it is the responsibility of the user to ensure their reuse complies with the terms and conditions determined by the rights holder. **Additional Terms & Conditions applicable to each Creative Commons user license: CC BY:** The CC-BY license allows users to copy, to create extracts, abstracts and new works from the Article, to alter and revise the Article and to make commercial use of the Article (including reuse and/or resale of the Article by commercial entities), provided the user gives appropriate credit (with a link to the formal publication through the relevant DOI), provides a link to the license, indicates if changes were made and the licensor is not represented as endorsing the use made of the work. The full details of the license are available at <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</u>.

CC BY NC SA: The CC BY-NC-SA license allows users to copy, to create extracts, abstracts and new works from the Article, to alter and revise the Article, provided this is not done for commercial purposes, and that the user gives appropriate credit (with a link to the formal publication through the relevant DOI), provides a link to the license, indicates if changes were made and the licensor is not represented as endorsing the use made of the work. Further, any new works must be made available on the same conditions. The full details of the license are available at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0. CC BY NC ND: The CC BY-NC-ND license allows users to copy and distribute the Article, provided this is not done for commercial purposes and further does not permit distribution of the Article if it is changed or edited in any way, and provided the user gives appropriate credit (with a link to the formal publication through the relevant DOI), provides a link to the license, and that the licensor is not represented as endorsing the use made of the work. The full details of the license are available at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0. Any commercial reuse of Open Access articles published with a CC BY NC SA or CC BY NC ND license requires permission from Elsevier and will be subject to a fee. Commercial reuse includes:

- Associating advertising with the full text of the Article
- Charging fees for document delivery or access
- Article aggregation
- Systematic distribution via e-mail lists or share buttons

Posting or linking by commercial companies for use by customers of those companies.

# 20. Other Conditions:

v1.9

Questions? <u>customercare@copyright.com</u> or +1-855-239-3415 (toll free in the US) or +1-978-646-2777.